Understanding GPS Processing and Results

Maxwell Owusu ANSAH, Ghana

Key words: Cadastre, Capacity building, CPD, Education, GPS, Positioning

SUMMARY

The use of Global Positioning Systems has undauntedly brought with it many welcome advances in its applications in surveying. The high accuracies achievable with the technology accompanied by its ability to overcome the age old problem of intervisibility have made GPS surveys a first choice for most surveyors. GPS accuracies and applications are increasing by the day.

The understanding of the physics of GPS working is of little concern to the surveyor, who most of the time does not interfere with the data capture. The non-interference of the surveyor as regards the capture of data deceives many surveyors into thinking that the GPS is errorproof as no human errors are incorporated (unlike say in a theodolite traverses). For the surveyor however, errors in any measurement and the reliability of any such survey measurement has to be evaluated and understood clearly.

There is the need for a clear understanding of the processing of GPS data and the results obtained from a GPS processing report. These results need to be interpreted rightly in order to give a clear understanding between the surveyor and the client and all users of the results.

Standards need to be developed quickly to meet the changing trends in GPS surveys if we are not be end up with very weak survey control networks, bad cadastre, boundary surveys, etc just because when the processing results were saying the result were bad, we just did not understand the report.

The surveyor clearly needs to be able to tell the difference between a bad GPS survey and a good one.

Understanding GPS Processing and Results

Maxwell Owusu ANSAH, Ghana

1. INTRODUCTION

The issue of standards is of paramount importance to the surveyor and with the ever increasing advancement of technology, surveys have become faster and milestone successes have been achieved. Some of the most important ones have come in the form of software which can greatly improve the speed of delivery and the GPS's ability to overcome the problem of intervisibility.

Such technological advances first have to stand the rigorous accuracy standards of the surveying however.

Standards are clear statements of the requirements of an acceptable survey. So we need to be clear of the accuracies that are needed and look out for these accuracies. We need these clear statements just as we need laws in a state to guard law and order. To the surveyor these lowing of standards will bring long with it:

- Weakening of the various survey networks,
- A cause for conflict along boundaries,
- Cost of redoing surveys etc.

Fortunately there are various indicators of the quality of a GPS survey and these indicators are a communicated through a number of reports generated by the GPS data processing software. Some of the main reports to be looked at are as listed below:

- Process Summary reports
- Repeat Vector Summary
- Loop Closure Summary
- Network Adjustment reports

These reports will be discussed and their indicators pointed-out.

Before that however the proper way of carrying out a good GPS survey on the field will have to be discussed.

2. PROCEDURES FOR FIELD WORK

The GPS generally follows many of the already established principles relating to survey methods as triangulation, trilateration, resection etc. Such principles as strength of triangles, good control network and proper setup of equipment over stations, are as important in GPS surveys as in any other type of survey. Other factors as ensuring a clear sky within the elevation mask to avoid multipath, keeping base lengths within distances where it can be safely assumed that all receivers receive signal that have gone through almost the same atmospheric conditions and therefore needing the same amount of correct need to be considered in GPS surveys.

It is important that a GPS survey has a minimum of two known points or controls to be used as checks of the reliability of results and every point will belong to a closed network linked to the known control point.

3. PREPROCESSING OF GPS DATA

This refers to all activities done before the actual processing of the data to obtain the final coordinates of the unknowns. Theses could range from the importing observed data into the processing software through editing of the station names, editing of coordinates, choosing the base or reference station to be used for the processing, specifying the type and height of antenna, editing of satellite data collected to the computation of the vectors. It is important to make this distinction between preprocessing and actual processing of data which ends with a constrained adjustment carried out on the unknowns. The erroneous application of the terms has resulted in the mistaken use of unadjusted coordinates in many instances.

After the preprocessing of the data, a report may be generated to give an indication of the type of solution reached. In the sample report (in Courier New Font) generated by a Sokkia post processing software, *Sokkia Spectrum Survey*, the first part (between the first two horizontal broken lines) indicates the project path and the type of coordinate system, the Datum, the units and date for the preprocessing. The second row gives the total number of vectors in the project. The third row gives a breakdown of the type of solution reached for the total number of vectors stated in the previous row. The last row gives an indication of the specific solution reached (Solution) for the individual occupations or vectors (vectors/occ), the various standard deviations (SD), Root Mean Square (RMS), the slant distances (Length) between occupations, the percentage of the data (Used) of the total collected for the particular occupation and the (Ratio) of best solution to the next-best solution.

Spectrum® Survey 3. SUMMARY]	PROCESS				
 Project:	C:\\Common\Sp	ectrum Pro	jects\Tr	ransforma	tion 2.spr	
Coordinate System: xyz	ghTM [Transverse	Mercator]	Da	atum:	GI	H
Geoid Model: Meters	<none></none>		Ur	nits:		
Processing Date:	2005/05/13 18:29	:58.96 (UT	C)			
 VECTORS [19 total]						
Fixed: 14 Float: 5 (*)						
Vector/Occ. Sol SD	ution	Length	Used	Ratio	RMS	
PS5.4 – GNSS Processing an	nd Applications				3/	/17
Understanding GPS Processi	ing and Results					

_____ GRR 05 FTP1-GRR 05 FTP2 8960.016 99.04% 4.0 0.010 01 Fixed (L1) 0.008 GRR 05 FTP1-GRR YSO 1 01 Fixed (L1) 6619.008 97.85% 4.0 0.012 0.010 02 * Float (L1) 6619.473 40.73% 0.011 0.164 GRR 05 FTP1-GRR 05 FTP3 01 Fixed (L1) 8896.303 93.04% 3.0 0.010 0.010 GRR 05 FTP1-GRR 05 FTP4 01 Fixed (L1) 64.467 87.75% 4.1 0.010 0.011 CFP179-GRR 05 FTP2 01 Fixed (L5 narrowlane) 4066.299 56.24% 5.4 0.006 0.016 02 * Float (L1) 4066.225 57.34% 0.007 0.076 03 * Float (L1) 4066.781 62.43% 0.010 0.139 CFP179-GRR YSO 1 01 * Float (L1) 3678.690 68.26% 0.009 0.097 2.0 75.44% 0.010 02 Fixed (L1) 3678.634 0.010 * Float (L1) 3679.769 42.51% 0.011 03 0.130 GRR 05 FTP2-GRR YSO 1 01 Fixed (L1) 2976.412 97.88% 4.4 0.008 0.008 02 Fixed (L1) 2976.416 99.11% 8.3 0.009 0.008 03 Fixed (L1) 2976.414 99.96% 5.2 0.007 0.006 GRR 05 FTP2-GRR 05 FTP3 63.701 100.00% 5.5 0.005 01 Fixed (L1) 0.005 GRR 05 FTP2-GRR 05 FTP4 01 Fixed (L1) 8895.652 92.73% 5.3 0.011 0.011 GRR 05 FTP3-GRR 05 FTP4 8831.931 01 Fixed (L1) 96.15% 2.2 0.011 0.012 GRR 05 FTP4-GRR YSO 1 6556.714 93.68% 4.6 01 Fixed (L1) 0.012 0.012 CFP179-GRR 05 FTP1 5752.912 01 Fixed (L1) 86.16% 3.8 0.010 0.013

One of the more important features of this report is the type of solution reached which gives an indication of whether all the integer cycle ambiguities were solved. If all are solved the

PS5.4 – GNSS Processing and Applications Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Processing and Results

type of solution reached will be a Fixed one otherwise the solution is a Float. There we always want to see fixed solutions. Note that the SD of many of the fixed solutions is generally lower than those with float solutions. There are instances where SD of float solutions is less than some of those with fixed solutions.

4. TROUBLESHOOTING USING GPS REPORTS

We shall now take a closer look at some of the GPS software generated reports which can be used to find out blunders in observed vectors and also serve as a guide to understand the results obtained after the processing of the field data collected.

4.1 The Repeat Vector Summary

The repeat vector summary shows the variation in the slope distances changes in easting, northing and elevation of all vectors that have had multiple occupations. The maximum differences are shown below each line and this is a very good identifier of blunders which can be used to eliminate bad observations from the multiple observations.

Spectru SUMMARY	um® Survey Y	3.51	REPEAT VE	CTOR	
 Project	::	C:\\Common\S	spectrum Proje	ects\Transformatio	n 2.spr
Coordin	nate System	: ghTM [Transvers	e Mercator]	Datum:	GH
Geoid Meters	Model:	<none></none>		Units:	
Process	sing Date:	2005/05/13 18:2	9:58.96 (UTC)	1	
Vect	cor/Occ.	Slope Distance(m)	dE(m)	dN(m)	dH(m)
GRR 05 01 02	FTP1-GRR Y	SO 1 6617.115 6617.580 0.465	-6584.102 -6584.574 0.472	-660.141 -660.091 0.050	-5.197 -5.042 0.155
CFP179- 01 02 03	-GRR 05 FTF	2 4065.042 4064.968 4065.524 0.556	4002.093 4002.021 4002.576 0.555	-657.085 -657.085 -657.088 0.003	-275.786 -275.743 -275.868 0.125
CFP179- 01 02 03	-GRR YSO 1	3677.557 3677.502 3678.636	2139.194 2139.098 2140.874	-2977.208 -2977.211 -2977.286	-290.705 -290.679 -291.197

PS5.4 – GNSS Processing and Applications Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Processing and Results

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

	Max Diff.	1.134	1.776	0.078	0.518
GRR 05	FTP2-GRR YSO	1			
01		2975.554	-1862.993	-2320.121	-14.814
02		2975.558	-1862.996	-2320.123	-14.931
03		2975.556	-1862.992	-2320.124	-14.858
	Max Diff.	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.117

4.2 Loop Closure Summary

Loop closure can be a very important identifier of blunders. When closed loops are selected and their closures calculated, particular vectors can easily be identified as degrading the misclose and therefore eliminated from further adjustments. The precisions can easily be compared to the criterion for rejection and accepted or rejected accordingly.

Sample Loop Closure Spectrum® Survey SUMMARY	Ŀ	LOOP				
 Project Name:	C:\Sc	okkia\Co	mmon\SPECTR~	1\TRANSF~1.SI	?R	
2						
Coordinate System xyz	: ghTM	[Transv	erse Mercato	r] Datur	n:	GH
Geoid Model: Meters	<none< td=""><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>Units</td><td>3:</td><td></td></none<>	<u> </u>		Units	3:	
Report Date:	2006,	/07/13 2	2:16:17 (UTC)		
Loop Name: Loop	5					
From To dHgt	0cc	Soln	Slope	dE	dN	
GRRFTP4- GRRFTP2*	01	LlFix	8893.099	-8386.711	-2957.996	-
GRRFTP2- CFP179 275 786	01	L5Fix	4065.042	4002.093	-657.085	-
GRRFTP1- CFP179 295 794	01	L1Fix	5751.141	-4444.992	-3637.330	-
GRRFTP4-GRRFTP1 * 3.361	01	LlFix	64.448	60.388	22.263	-
0 019		CIO	sure 0.027	-0.014	-0.013	-
Total Segments:	4					
PS5.4 – GNSS Processing Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Proce	g and App essing anc	lications l Results				6/17

XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006 Total Length: 18779.330 Precision: 1/688803 (= 1.45 PPM) Loop Name: Loop4 _____ To Occ Soln Slope From dE dN dHqt _____ GRRFTP4- GRR YSO1 01 L1Fix 6554.841 -6523.725 -637.876 8.462 GRR YSO1-GRRFTP2 01 L1Fix 2975.554 -1862.993 -2320.121 14.814 GRRFTP4- GRRFTP2 01 L1Fix 8893.099 -8386.711 -2957.996 23.389 _____ ___ Closure 0.113 -0.006 -0.001 0.112 Total Segments: 3 Total Length: 18428.778 Precision: 1/163785 (= 6.11 PPM)

In the above report the closure indicates the amount of adjustment to be applied to the various components to bring them to the ideal situation of no errors (mathematically at least) and thereby force a closure i.e closure values for all the components must be zero. It is clear from the above tables that the Loop4 has more errors (larger closure values) than Loop5 and may have blunder(s).

4.3 Network Adjustment

Network adjustment is carried out on the various networks observed in the project. At this stage the various loop closures are expected to be corrected for a mathematical closure and in doing so some vectors are moved. There are two kinds of adjustment namely the Free adjustment and the Constrained adjustment.

4.4 Free Adjustment

In this type of adjustment the internal consistencies of the survey is checked. This can also be a very good identifier of blunders. Only one measured control (three dimensional) is held fixed in this process which allows differences in observed values to be obtained as residuals, with the exclusion of errors between coordinates of other known points. The blunders identified in this process are excluded from the next stage of adjustment which is the constrained adjustment.

4.5 Constrained Adjustment

In a constrained more than one measured control (three dimensional) are held fixed and the coordinates of the unknowns are computed for. The unknowns are calculated for while the consistency in known values is still maintained.

4.6 Interpreting Adjustment Reports

The adjustment report is about the one most important report that could be generated at the end of a GPS survey processing. In the sample report below, some of the more important highlights are shaded and they are:

The type of adjustment to specify the kind of adjustment being currently applied. In the current adjustment the type is constrained as two controls are held fixed to calculate the coordinates as it the unknown.

The second is the summary of adjusted statistics which shows the numbers observations, unknowns, knowns and the redundancies and the number of iterations used.

Next is the Chi Square Test on the Variance Factor which does a test on the hypothesis that the predicted error applied to each vector observation in the network is realistic, based on the adjustment. The predicted errors before adjustment are shown by the a priori variance. The test is done by a comparison of the a priori variance to the variance after adjustment called the A Posteriori. The closer the test result is to 1.0, the better the applied weight. However, a value below the test range does not necessarily mean that the GPS survey is bad but that the errors were estimated to be too high i.e. the precision of the survey is higher than initially assumed. On the other hand a value higher than the range although could mean that there are blunders still inherent in the survey, could also be revealing inconsistencies in the previous surveys from which coordinates of the controls were derived.

Sample Report:

Spectrum® Survey 3.50 Network Adjustment Report C:\Sokkia\Common\Spectrum Projects\Fig Project: Controls171205\191205ExpPts.spr Coordinate System: GHTM [Transverse Mercator] Datum: GH XYZ Geoid Model: <None> Units: Meters Adjustment Time: 2005/12/19 10:57:33 (LOCAL) Time Zone: GMT+0.00h _____ _____ _ _ _ Adjustment Type: Constrained Computation Level: Full Adjustment Additional Parameters: PS5.4 – GNSS Processing and Applications Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Processing and Results

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

 Deflection o: Deflection o: Horizontal ro Scale differentiation Criteria Maximum itera Maximum coord 	f vertical (N-S) f vertical (E-W) otation ence a: ation dinate difference (m)	Not used Not used Not used S 0.0001	
- Datum Name - Semi-major az - Flattening (r	kis (m) n)	GH xyz 6378306.064 1.0/296.002627791	
Weight Options: - Use modeled s - Use individua	standard deviations al weighting scale		
Modeled Standard I - X component - Y component - Z component	Deviations	5.0 mm + 1.0 ppm 5.0 mm + 1.0 ppm 5.0 mm + 1.0 ppm	
Geoid Model: NOI - Orthometric B	NE neights will not be com	nputed	
Transformation to - System name - System type - Linear unit - Parameters:	Map Coordinate System Latitude Longitude False Northing (m) False Easting (m) Scale	GHTM Transverse Mercator Meters N 4 40 00.00000 W 1 00 00.00000 0.000 274320.000 0.99975	
- Centroid:	Latitude Longitude Elevation (m) Northing (m) Easting (m) Combined Factor	N 6 20 39.52569 W 1 01 07.51356 181.528 185470.972 272245.504 0.99972151	
	Summary of Adjust	ment Statistics	
Number of Points: - Horizontal f: - Horizontal f: - Horizontal f: - Horizontal f:	ixed & height fixed ixed & height free ree & height fixed ree & height free	2 0 0 6 total 8	_

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

Number of Unknow - Latitude - Longitude	ns:			6 6					
- Height - Additional :	parameters			6 0					
Number of observ	ations	(a)	total	18					
 X component Y component Z component 				24 24 24					
		(b)	 total	72					
Number of Rank D Number of Total Iterations Used	efect Redundancy	(c) (b)+((c)-(a)	0 54 2					
	Chi Square Test	t on th	ne Variar	ce Factor					
Total Number of Observations:72Redundancy:54Confidence Level:95%A Priori Variance Factor:1.0000A Posteriori Variance Factor (VF):1.1591									
C	Chi Square Test on the Variance Factor (1.1591) 0.6584 < VF < 1.4128								
Standard Devi	ations for the obse	ervatio	ons are w	vithin the o	desired range.				
** Note: The St Varian	andarded Deviation ce Factor.	of Uni	t Weight	is the sq	uare root of the				
	Input Coordin	ates a	and Corre	ctions					
 Point	Input Coordinates	5	Correc Seconds	tions m	Horizontal Vector				
100	P 6 20 35.1418 L - 1 00 56.0962 H 166.426	87 – 26 – m	0.00082 0.00221	-0.025 -0.068 0.036	0.073 m 250 deg				
YSO2	P 6 20 31.0722 L - 1 00 44.7952 H 178.648	21 – 24 – m	0.00024 0.00064	-0.007 -0.020 0.011	0.021 m 250 deg				

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

FJN4	P 6 20 57.69938 L - 1 01 53.30096 H 195.296 m	- 0.00125 -0.039 - 0.00339 -0.104 0.056	0.111 m 250 deg
121 FIXED 3-D	P 6 20 23.38484 L - 1 00 21.84567 H 160.645 m	0.00000 0.000 0.00000 0.000 0.000	0.000 m 0 deg
YS01	P 6 20 32.68791 L - 1 00 51.24661 H 175.395 m	- 0.00031 -0.009 - 0.00081 -0.025 0.013	0.027 m 249 deg
CMP1	P 6 20 59.78600 L - 1 02 03.25490 H 190.187 m	- 0.00037 -0.011 - 0.00099 -0.031 0.016	0.033 m 249 deg
128 FIXED 3-D	P 6 20 59.74992 L - 1 02 15.67956 H 220.181 m	0.00000 0.000 0.00000 0.000 0.000	0.000 m 0 deg
JNC	P 6 20 16.68342 L - 0 59 53.88928 H 165.443 m	- 0.00018 -0.005 - 0.00047 -0.014 0.008	0.015 m 250 deg
	Adjusted Coordinates	and Standard Deviatio	
 Point	Adjusted Coordinates	Std Dev (0.001sec) (mm)	95% Ellipse
100	P 6 20 35.14105 L - 1 00 56.09847 H 166.462 m	0.15310 4.7 0.15302 4.7	major 11.5 mm azm. 90 deg minor 11.5 mm
YSO2	P 6 20 31.07197 L - 1 00 44.79588 H 178.658 m	0.06620 2.0 0.06617 2.0	major 5.0 mm azm. 90 deg minor 5.0 mm
FJN4	P 6 20 57.69813 L - 1 01 53.30435 H 195.352 m	0.10014 3.1 0.10009 3.1	major 7.5 mm azm. 90 deg minor 7.5 mm

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

121									
FIXED 3-D	P L H	-	6 20 23 1 00 21 160	.38484 .84567 .645 m	0.00000 0.00000	0.0 0.0	major azm. minor	0.0 mm 0 deg 0.0 mm	
YSO1	_								
	P L H	-	6 20 32 1 00 51 175	.68760 .24742 .408 m	0.08133 0.08128	2.5 2.5	major azm. minor	6.1 mm 90 deg 6.1 mm	
CMP1									
	P		6 20 59	.78563	0.07702	2.4	major	5.8 mm	
	L H	-	1 02 03 190	.25589 .203 m	0.07698	2.4	azm. minor	90 deg 5.8 mm	
128									
FIXED 3-D	P		6 20 59	.74992	0.00000	0.0	major	0.0 mm	
	L H	-	1 02 15 220	.67956 .181 m	0.00000	0.0	azm. minor	0 deg 0.0 mm	
JNC									
	P		6 20 16	.68324	0.11711	3.6	major	8.8 mm	
	L	-	0 59 53	.88975	0.11704	3.6	azm.	90 deg	
	Н		165	.451 m			minor	8.8 mm	
	· ·								
	Trai	nsfo	rmation	into Map	Coordinates	(meters)		
Point Conv./Scale		Geo	detic C	oordinate	Map Coord	linate			
100									

	Ρ		6 20	35.14105	5 N		185336.306	-	0 00
06.19787	L	-	1 0	56.09847	7 E		272596.252		
0.99975004	Н			166.462	2				
YSO2									
04.04006	Ρ		6 20	31.07197	7 N		185211.334	-	0 00
04.94826	L	-	1 00) 44.79588	3 E		272943.546		
0.99975002	Н			178.658	3				
FJN4									
	Ρ		6 20	57.69813	3 N	Ī	186029.124	-	0 00
12.53039	т.	_	1 0'	53 30435	म 7		270838 519		
0.99975015	Ц		т U.				270050.519		
	Η			195.352	2				

121 FIXED 3-D 02 41231	Ρ		6	20	23.384	84 N	I	184975.246	-	0 0 0	
02.11251	L	-	1	00	21.845	67 E]	273648.740			
0.99975001	Н				160.6	45					
YSO1	п		6	20	22 697	50 N	т	185260 955	_	0 00	
05.66131	r T		1	20	52.007	40 T	1	272745 200	_	0 00	
0.99975003	Ц	-	T	00	51.247	42 E	i	2/2/45.309			
	Η				175.4	08					
CMP1	D		6	20	59 785	63 N	т	186093 251	_	0 00	
13.63218	-		1	20	02.055	00 -				0 00	
0.99975018	Ц	-	T	02	03.255	89 E		270532.744			
	Η				190.2	03					
128 FIXED 3-D	P		6	20	59.749	92 N	I	186092.181	_	0 00	
15.00622	L	_	1	02	15.679	56 E	1	270151.005			
0.99975022	Н				220.1	81					
JNC											
00.67453	Ρ		6	20	16.683	24 N	1	184769.433		0 00	
0 00075000	L	-	0	59	53.889	75 E	2	274507.753			
0.99975000	Н				165.4	51					
				0]	oservat	ions and	l Resid	duals			
Observatic (m)	ons		S	td I (m	Dev 1)	Residual (m)	s :	Standardized Residuals		PPM	
Vector: 128-YSO2	2 w	eig	ht	= 1	.00	0 015		1 020		2 4 4 1	
dn -880.904 dr 2793.373	10 18).U 1 01	J⊥8 J37	0.015) 7	-1.839		3.441 2 594	
dH -42.187	3			0.0	074	-0.009)	2.615 *		4.892	
Vector: 128-YSO1	. W	reig	ht:	= 1	.00						
dN -831.280	8			0.0	063	0.013	5	-0.516		0.983	
dE 2595.079	1			0.0	061	0.006	5	1.078		2.058	
dH -45.353	9			0.02	107	-0.001		2.508		4.785	
Vector: 128-YSO2	2 Oc	c.[02] 7	weight=	1.00					
PS5.4 – GNSS Processi	ng ai	nd A	ppl	catio	ons					1	3/17

Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Processing and Results

dN dE	-880.8893 2793.3708	0.0040 0.0049	0.000 0.011	1.791 1.891	3.349 3.537		
đН	-42.2055	0.0090	0.010	0.204	0.382		
Vector:	121-CMP1	weight= 1.00					
dN	1118.2866	0.0045	-0.005	-1.980	3.337		
dE	-3116.8782	0.0069	-0.002	-0.405	0.682		
dH	28.7099	0.0098	-0.012	-1.113	1.875		
Vector:	YSO1-FJN4	weight= 1.00					
dN	768.3276	0.0045	0.004	2.032	4.372		
dE	-1907.3507	0.0057	0.004	0.960	2.067		
đН	19.6022	0.0080	0.009	1.090	2.349		
Vector:	121-YS02	weight= 1.00					
dN	236.1531	0.0012	-0.008	0.004	0.027		
dE	-705.3856	0.0021	-0.007	-1.538	9.690		
dH	17.9704	0.0085	-0.001	-1.702	10.725		
Vector:	128-CMP1	weight= 1.00					
dN	1.0962	0.0022	0.002	1.988	23.393		
dE	381.8414	0.0045	0.005	0.965	11.357		
dH	-29.9981	0.0205	0.009	0.657	7.728		
Vector:	121-YSO1	weight= 1.00					
dN	285.7827	0.0024	-0.004	0.723	3.460		
dE	-903.6763	0.0040	-0.008	-1.880	8.978		
dH	14.6898	0.0057	0.003	-0.883	4.220		
Vector:	YSO2-FJN4	weight= 1.00					
dN	817.9692	0.0025	0.000	-1.848	3.789		
dE	-2105.6404	0.0034	0.003	0.763	1.567		
dH	16.3019	0.0056	-0.009	-0.239	0.491		
Vector:	121-FJN4	weight= 1.00					
dN	1054.1385	0.0027	0.002	-0.490	0.829		
dE	-2811.0129	0.0046	-0.009	-1.682	2.848		
dH	34.0017	0.0067	-0.002	0.375	0.636		
Vector:	121-YSO2 (Occ.[03] weight=	1.00				
dN	236.1515	0.0025	-0.006	-0.141	0.887		
dE	-705.3871	0.0039	-0.006	-1.207	7.607		
đН	17.9709	0.0064	-0.001	-1.382	8.709		
Vector:	YSO1-CMP1	weight= 1.00					
dN	832.4664	0.0043	0.001	-1.419	2.888		
dE	-2213.2029	0.0067	-0.004	-0.851	1.730		
ан	14.3632	0.0101	-0.007	0.141	0.288		
Vector:	121-CMP1 (Occ.[02] weight=	1.00				
dN	1118.2833	0.0024	-0.002	1.109	1.869		
* - Possible Outlier							
** - L	ikely Outli	ler					

		Reliab	ility of Obse	rvations	
S	tandard Dev	viations		Reliabil	ity
C	orr Obs Re	esiduals Re	dundancy I	nternal E	xternal
	(mm)	(mm)	-		
Vector:	FJN4-100	weight= 1.00			
Ν	3.88	3.30	0.42	0.03	4.85
Е	4.46	3.80	0.42	0.03	4.85
H	4.76	4.05	0.42	0.03	4.85
		1.00	0112		1.00
Vector:	CMP1-100	weight= 1.00			
N	3.90	3.45	0.44	0.03	4.67
 Е	4 48	3 97	0 44	0 03	4 67
н	4 78	4 23	0 44	0.03	4 67
11	1.70	1.25	0.11	0.05	1.07
Vector:	121-YSO2	weight= 1.00			
N	1 80	4 47	0 86	0 02	1 66
 Е	2 07	5 14	0.86	0 02	1 66
н	2.07	5 48	0.86	0.02	1 66
11	2.21	5.10	0.00	0.02	1.00
Vector:	121-YSO2 (Occ [02] weig	h = 1 00		
N	1 80	4 47	0 86	0 02	1 66
 Е	2 07	5 14	0.86	0 02	1 66
<u>–</u> Н	2 21	5 48	0.86	0.02	1 66
	2.21	5.10	0.00	0.02	1.00
Vector:	YS02-YS01	weight= 1.00			
N	2.47	4.08	0.73	0.02	2.50
E.	2.84	4 69	0 73	0.02	2 50
H	3.03	5.01	0.73	0.02	2.50
	3.03	5.01	0.75	0.02	2.30
Vector:	YSO2-CMP1	weight= 1.00			
N	2.24	4.87	0.83	0.03	1.90
E	2.58	5.60	0.83	0.03	1.90
Н	2.75	5.97	0.83	0.03	1.90
Vector:	128-YSO2	weight= 1.00			
N	1.80	5.22	0.89	0.03	1.42
E	2.07	6.00	0.89	0.03	1.42
Н	2.21	6.41	0.89	0.03	1.42
Vector:	YSO1-FJN4	weight= 1.00			
N	2.96	4.22	0.67	0.03	2.90
E	3.40	4.85	0.67	0.03	2.90
Н	3.63	5.18	0.67	0.03	2.90
Vector:	CMP1-FJN4	weight= 1.00			
Ν	2.73	3.92	0.67	0.03	2.87
E	3.13	4.51	0.67	0.03	2.87
Н	3.34	4.81	0.67	0.03	2.87

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

Vector:	121-YSO1	weight= 1.00						
Ν	2.21	4.32	0.79	0.02	2.12			
Ε	2.54	4.96	0.79	0.02	2.12			
Н	2.71	5.30	0.79	0.02	2.12			
Vector:	121-YS01 Occ.[02] weight= 1.00							
Ν	2.21	4.32	0.79	0.02	2.12			
E	2.54	4.96	0.79	0.02	2.12			
Н	2.71	5.30	0.79	0.02	2.12			
Vector:	121-CMP1	.21-CMP1 weight= 1.00						
N	2.09	5.32	0.87	0.03	1.63			
E	2.41	6.12	0.87	0.03	1.63			
Н	2.57	6.53	0.87	0.03	1.63			
Vector:	128-YSO1 weight= 1.00							
N	2.21	4.96	0.83	0.03	1.84			
E	2.54	5.70	0.83	0.03	1.84			
Н	2.71	6.08	0.83	0.03	1.84			
Vector: YSO1-CMP1 weight= 1.00								
N	2.60	4.59	0.76	0.03	2.34			
Е	2.99	5.27	0.76	0.03	2.34			
Н	3.19	5.63	0.76	0.03	2.34			
Vector: 121-JNC weight= 1.00								
N	3.18	3.64	0.57	0.03	3.61			
Е	3.66	4.19	0.57	0.03	3.61			
Н	3.91	4.47	0.57	0.03	3.61			
Vector:	YSO2-JNC	weight= 1.00						
N	3.22	3.84	0.59	0.03	3.47			
Е	3.70	4.41	0.59	0.03	3.47			
Н	3.95	4.71	0.59	0.03	3.47			
		Re	elative Preci	sion				
 ⊑114∽	Diet							
LIIP	. DISL. - Diff		Polativo					
Azir	uuth	Std Dev	Drecision		958 Fllinge			
(m)		(mm)	FIECISION		22% FIITDSE			
Negtor: NGO2 NGO1 weight 1 00								
20101 ·	4 404	2 Q	$H_{0r} = 1/722$	74	major 6 8 mm			
20-	3.250	2.8	Ver $1/722$	72	azm, 90 deg			
284 03	06.9	2.8sec	VCI. I//JJ	, 4	minor 6.8 mm			
201 00		2.0000						
Vector: YSO2-CMP1 weight= 1.00								
256	7.691	2.5	Hor. 1/101	4499	major 6.2 mm			
11	1.545	2.5	Ver. 1/101	4470	azm. 90 deg			

Shaping the Change XXIII FIG Congress Munich, Germany, October 8-13, 2006

290 05 31.6	0.2sec		minor 6.2 mm
Vector: YSO2-JNC 1625.835 -13.207 105 46 26.4	weight= 1.00 3.6 3.6 0.5sec	Hor. 1/446759 Ver. 1/446747	major 8.9 mm azm. 0 deg minor 8.9 mm
Vector: YSO2-FJN4 2258.864 16.694 291 13 46.0	weight= 1.00 3.2 3.2 0.3sec	Hor. 1/714422 Ver. 1/714400	major 7.7 mm azm. 90 deg minor 7.7 mm

Desired Network Accuracy was met for all Vectors

5. CONCLUSION

There is the need for the surveyor and the users of GPS survey data to have a basis of accepting and rejecting a GPS survey result as these results are clearly not sacrosanct. There is the need to know what to look out for in the various GPS reports and keep the surveyor on his toes to keep the survey standards high.

REFERENCES

Bomford, Guy, 1980, Geodesy: Clarendon Press, Oxford, 4th ed. Point, Inc., 2003, Spectrum Survey Reference Manual.

BIOGRAPHICAL NOTES

Academic experience: BSc. Geodetic Engineering, Kwame Nkrumah Univ. of Science & Technology, Kumasi, Ghana. Current position: Ag. Survey Manager, Geotech Systems Ltd.

Practical experience: Cadastral surveying, mapping, Engineering Surveys, GPS Surveys / Training.

CONTACTS

Maxwell Owusu Ansah Geotech Systems Ltd. 27 Samora Machel Rd Asylum Down, Accra GHANA Tel. +233 24 4810288 Fax + 233 21 236475 Email:max.ansah@geotechsys.com Web site: www.geotechsys.com

PS5.4 – GNSS Processing and Applications Maxwell Owusu Ansah Understanding GPS Processing and Results