

# Reference Frames in Practice Manual



Commission 5 Working Group 5.2 Reference Frames

2nd Edition



IGS INTERNATIONAL  
GNSS SERVICE



INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION  
OF SURVEYORS (FIG)





# Reference Frames in Practice Manual

Commission 5 Working Group 5.2 Reference Frames

2nd Edition

Editors: Ryan Keenan, Graeme Blick

INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF SURVEYORS (FIG)

This Technical Manual is produced by FIG Commission 5 and has been contributed to by technical experts from FIG, IGS, UN-GGCE and other partner organisations. The objective of the Manual is to provide a brief introduction to the use of Reference Frames in Practice. Arranged as a series of short fact sheets that can be easily added to and updated, it was originally published in 2014, and this is the updated version from May 2024.

Any feedback should be forwarded to the FIG Commission 5 Chair Ryan Keenan – see [www.fig.net/organisation/comm/5/](http://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/5/).

Copyright © The International Federation of Surveyors (FIG), May 2024

All rights reserved.

International Federation of Surveyors (FIG)  
Kalvebod Brygge 31–33  
DK-1780 Copenhagen V  
DENMARK

Tel. + 45 38 86 10 81  
E-mail: [FIG@FIG.net](mailto:FIG@FIG.net)  
[www.fig.net](http://www.fig.net)

Published in English

ISSN 2311-8423 (pdf)  
ISBN 978-87-93914-14-8 (pdf)

Published by  
International Federation of Surveyors (FIG)

Editors: Ryan Keenan, Graeme Blick

Authors: Graeme Blick, Nicholas Brown, Allison Craddock, Nic Donnelly, Roger Fraser, Matt Higgins, Ryan Keenan, David Martin, Luibov Poshyvoila-Strube, Paul Rebischung, Chris Rizos, Daniel R. Roman, Ryan Ruddick, Rob Sarib, Tomas Soler, Richard Stanaway, Neil D. Weston.

Front cover photo: © Land Information New Zealand;  
back cover photo: © Henry Murwa, Digmap Consultants, Kenya

Layout: Lagarto

# CONTENTS

<b>FOREWORD FROM FIG COMMISSION 5</b> .....	5
<b>FOREWORD FROM THE INTERNATIONAL GNSS SERVICE (IGS)</b> .....	6
<b>FOREWORD FROM UNITED NATIONS GLOBAL GEODETIC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE (UN-GGCE)</b> .....	7
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	9
Background .....	9
Datums and Projections .....	10
<b>2 GEODESY AND GLOBAL REFERENCE FRAMES</b> .....	12
Modern Geodesy and the ITRS/ITRF .....	12
ITRF Stations .....	13
Global Geodetic Observing System .....	13
Further Information .....	15
<b>3 GLOBAL TERRESTRIAL REFERENCE SYSTEMS AND FRAMES</b> .....	16
Introduction .....	16
Handling relationships between Global Reference Frames .....	16
General Transformation between Coordinates X1 and X2 .....	17
International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) .....	17
Geodetic Techniques Contributing to the ITRF .....	18
World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84) .....	18
Further Information .....	18
<b>4 REGIONAL AND NATIONAL REFERENCE FRAMES</b> .....	19
Global Reference Frames .....	19
Regional Reference Frames .....	19
National Reference Frames .....	19
Types of Geodetic Datums .....	19
Deformation Models .....	20
<b>5 HEIGHT SYSTEMS</b> .....	23
The relationship between gravity and the World Height System (WHS) .....	23
How GNSS Measurements are linked to local orthometric heights .....	23
The relationships among the various aspects of the Earth's gravity field .....	24
How geoid models are determined and used in vertical datum .....	24
Further Information .....	25
<b>6 TRANSFORMING BETWEEN DATUMS</b> .....	26
Introduction .....	26
Similarity transformations .....	26
Other transformation methods .....	28
<b>7 TRANSFORMING BETWEEN DATUMS IN NON-STATIC REFERENCE FRAMES</b> .....	29
Introduction .....	29
Use Cases .....	29
Transforming from ITRF to a local non-static reference frame .....	29
Worked Example .....	29

<b>8</b>	<b>REFERENCE FRAME PARAMETER ESTIMATION VIA THE TECHNIQUE OF LEAST SQUARES</b> .....	33
	Introduction.....	33
	Reference Frame Definition and Propagation.....	33
	The Mathematical Model – the vital link between Geodetic Measurements and Reference Frame Parameters .....	34
	Estimation and Adjustment .....	35
	References .....	35
<b>9</b>	<b>TESTING MEASUREMENTS AND LEAST SQUARES PARAMETER ESTIMATES</b> .....	36
	Introduction.....	36
	Analysis and Testing of Results .....	36
	Geodetic Network Reliability.....	37
	A General Estimation and Testing Procedure .....	38
	References .....	38
<b>10</b>	<b>GLOBAL NAVIGATION SATELLITE SYSTEMS (GNSS)</b> .....	39
	Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS).....	39
	CORS & Modes of Positioning.....	39
	Multi-GNSS .....	41
	Further Information .....	42
<b>11</b>	<b>GNSS CORS NETWORKS AND LINKING TO ITRF</b> .....	43
	How to link CORS to ITRF.....	43
	On-Line GNSS Processing Services.....	44
	Further Information .....	45
<b>12</b>	<b>THE INTERNATIONAL GNSS SERVICE (IGS)</b> .....	46
	Introduction.....	46
	Formation .....	46
	Structure.....	47
	Data and products.....	47
	Alignment with the ITRF.....	48
	Further Information .....	48
<b>13</b>	<b>STANDARDS AND QUALITY OF TERRESTRIAL REFERENCE FRAMES</b> .....	49
	Quality and Standards.....	49
	Traceability, Calibration and Verification.....	50
	ISO 17123 Part 8: GNSS field measurement systems in real-time kinematic (RTK).....	51
	Case Study – Malaysia .....	52
	Further Information .....	53
<b>14</b>	<b>THE IMPORTANCE OF A MODERN NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL REFERENCE SYSTEM</b> .....	54
	A modern national Geospatial Reference System.....	54
	Supporting development and delivery .....	54
	The United Nations Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (UN-GGCE).....	55
	Further Information .....	55
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER)</b> .....	56

## FOREWORD FROM FIG COMMISSION 5

Commission 5 of the International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) helps practising surveyors in FIG member associations to apply Positioning and Measurement technologies efficiently and effectively in their day-to-day survey activities. One of the most significant technologies to positively impact positioning in recent decades is that of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS).

The broad adoption of GNSS positioning technologies introduced the need for countries to move from locally defined geodetic datums to more regional and global datums based on the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF). In 2014, Commission 5 responded to this industry need with great initiative, by curating a series of fact sheets to educate surveyors of key issues around reference frames. One decade later, the document you are now reading is the first update to this reference frames in practice manual and includes updates around GNSS constellations, positioning modes, and new international initiatives and organisations working towards sustainable reference frames.

Commission 5 endeavours to raise awareness around relevant positioning and measurement information for our members through publications, workshops, seminars and online events. Alone, this cannot be done effectively. So it should not be surprising to hear of the collaborative efforts of Commission 5 partners – both longstanding and new – who have generously provided their support over the years to amplify the effectiveness of our Commission efforts, such as this updated reference frame manual. These partner organisations include the United Nations Office for Outer Space Affairs (UNOOSA) and its International Committee on GNSS (ICG), the International Association of Geodesy (IAG), the International GNSS Service (IGS) and the newly established UN Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (GGCE). Gratitudes are given to the evergreen group of technical experts who continue to share their time and insights in the ongoing Commission 5 Workshops on reference frame topics, held alongside FIG and partner organisation events.

In particular, I wish to acknowledge the strengthening partnerships of Commission 5 with IGS and the UN-GGCE. With a common vision around the critical need to build capability and develop capacity in all things geodesy, including reference frames, I am very grateful for the forewords provided by Allison Craddock and Nicholas Brown, and the opportunities we all have to ‘move the needle’ in a positive direction.

For the foreseeable future, and beyond, Commission 5 will continue to collaborate together with its partners to deliver relevant and fit-for-purpose information on Positioning and Measurement through publications, seminars, working groups, joint study groups and those yet to be created.

We look forward to your continued readership, participation and support in understanding, appreciating, promoting and adopting consistent, robust and sustainable reference frames for the greater good of humanity and environment – no matter what application or market.



**Ryan Keenan**  
Chair, Commission 5 (2023–2026)  
International Federation of Surveyors

## FOREWORD FROM THE INTERNATIONAL GNSS SERVICE (IGS)

People around the world use Global Navigation Satellite Systems to connect to the reference frames that describe every location on Earth with three coordinates, and accurately relate this to any other location.

The International GNSS Service (IGS) is a key contributor to the International Association of Geodesy (IAG) and its Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS), and part of the International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics (IUGG). It seeks to enable the highest-accuracy usability of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) technologies by producing high-quality, openly and readily available GNSS data and products.

The IGS operates as a voluntary federation of more than 200 worldwide agencies and institutions in over 90 countries. It combines resources and expertise to provide the highest quality Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) data, products, and services in order to support high-precision applications of GNSS. Additionally, the IGS coordinates a global network of over 500 GNSS ground stations, along with data and analysis centers to provide the data and derived data products that enable the use of GNSS technologies for scientific applications, such as the improvement and extension of the ITRF, monitoring of solid Earth deformations, monitoring of Earth rotation and variations in the liquid Earth. Applications also include satellite orbit determinations, precise timing, and monitoring of the ionosphere and troposphere.

The IGS, through its participation in regular historical data reprocessing campaigns, is also a major contributor to the realization of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF), which is a fundamental standard that provides the scale for global measurements in science, engineering, and an ever-expanding number of applications now common in daily life. The distributed IGS products, which include the orbits of GNSS satellites, are expressed in the latest realization of the ITRF and allow any user of the GNSS systems to express its coordinates in this frame anywhere on the planet.

In 2020, we formally recognized the important link between the IGS and FIG Commission 5 through mutual representation in our governance and close collaboration on community engagement, outreach, and capacity development. This manual, along with in-person and hybrid meetings held around the world, is an important resource ensuring that the surveying and land use community has access to – and the capacity to benefit from – the openly available GNSS data that IGS provides.

Our global geodesy community forms the backbone of an ever-increasing number of everyday applications depending on GNSS-based positioning data. Surveyors are an important part of this community, as they are the ultimate translators of GNSS data to legal and reliable positioning information that touches lives all around the world.

The IGS is thankful to our colleagues and organizations around the world for their contributions to our work. We look forward to continuing our close collaboration with FIG and the surveying community, and encourage anyone curious about learning more about the IGS to visit our website at: [www.igs.org](http://www.igs.org).



**Allison B. Craddock**

Director of the International GNSS Service Central Bureau

(2018–Present)



## **FOREWORD FROM UNITED NATIONS GLOBAL GEODETIC CENTRE OF EXCELLENCE (UN-GGCE)**

I remember going to geodesy classes at university. I found, and still find, the topic equal parts fascinating and daunting. The need to measure changes in the shape, orientation and gravity field of the Earth with great accuracy and then sometimes fix it to provide a stable point of reference for practical applications. This is not an easy exercise and highlights the importance of the Reference Frames in Practice manual. I trust this manual will help you embark on a journey through the practical application of reference frames. We'll explore how to choose the most appropriate reference frame for a given scenario, how to transform between reference frames, and how to use reference frames to solve problems.

So, whether you're charting the trajectory of a spacecraft, designing a land administration network, or simply pondering the mysteries of the cosmos, let this manual be your companion in the fascinating world of reference frames.

Happy exploring!



**Nicholas Brown**

Head of Office

United Nations Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (UN-GGCE)



# 1 INTRODUCTION


*This manual addresses technical issues surrounding reference frames, presenting formulae and concepts when appropriate. It is arranged as a series of short fact sheets that can be easily added to and updated. The objective of the manual is to provide a brief introduction to the use of Reference Frames in Practice. Intended for surveyors, this manual assumes some knowledge of the topic and contains several technical terms that may be duplicated in other sections. References are included where additional information may be found.*

## **Background**

Since the dawn of civilisation, people have found it necessary to measure and map their domain. During very early times this concern was limited to the immediate vicinity of their home; later it expanded to the distance of markets; and finally, with the development of means of transportation and communication people became interested in the whole world.

Much of this early “world interest” was evidenced by speculation concerning the size, shape, and composition of the Earth.

Geodesy is the science of measuring the shape, size and rotation of the Earth and precisely locating points on its surface. As our society and economy becomes increasingly



**Figure 1:** Early map of SW Pacific.

dependent on complex technologies and the management of the space we live in, the demand for precise positioning and consistent, reliable spatial data has intensified.

In today's world where new technologies allow us to rapidly determine the accurate position of points, features and assets, we are developing the concept of everything 'geodetic'. That is the development of a seamless geodetic cadastre and all spatial datasets in terms of a common geodetic system.

For many countries impacted by the effects of ground movements due to events such as earthquakes, volcanic activity or crustal plate tectonics, the ability to survey and record these movements to maintain the accuracy of the geodetic system is an important task. A country's geodetic system provides the network of permanent ground reference points and the associated intellectual and positional data that enables it to ensure all data concerning land, resources, and location is managed in a systematic and orderly manner.

Fundamental to any geodetic system is the spatial reference frame upon which it is based. Historically these were locally or regionally based, but now our reference frames are truly global thanks to the use of globally based satellite positioning systems.

A spatial reference frame allows a location to be unambiguously identified through a set of coordinates (usually latitude and longitude, or northing and easting).

## ***Datums and Projections***

A **geodetic datum** is a curved reference surface that is used to express the positions of features consistently. Geodetic datums are usually classified into two categories: local and geocentric.

A **Local Geodetic Datum** is a datum that best approximates the size and shape of a particular part of the Earth's sea-level surface. It is defined by specifying a reference ellipsoid, the position (latitude and longitude) of an initial station and an azimuth from that station. Invariably, the centre of its ellipsoid will not coincide with the Earth's centre of mass. Until recently, most national geodetic systems were based on local geodetic datums.


A **Geocentric Datum** is a datum that best approximates the size and shape of the Earth as a whole. The centre of its ellipsoid coincides with the Earth's centre of mass (see Diagram above). Geocentric datums do not seek to be a good approximation to any single part of the Earth but on average they are a good fit.

Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) utilise geocentric datums to express their positions because of their global extent. Multiple GNSS are now operational such as GPS, GLONASS, GALILEO and BDS, and each uses a slightly different geocentric datum.


The World Geodetic System 1984 (used by GPS) is an example of a geocentric datum.

Mean sea level is widely used as the **reference surface for the measurement of height**. The contours on a map will usually show height above mean sea level (MSL). However, heights in terms of a geodetic datum will be related to an ellipsoid. The difference between the two may be many tens of metres.

Another type of coordinate system is provided by **map projections**. A map projection enables the curved surface of the ellipsoid to be represented on a flat sheet of paper



**Figure 2:** Local datum with best fit ellipsoid.



**Figure 3:** Geocentric datum with ellipsoid that is a best fit to the world.

or computer screen (in other words, a map). Projections are used to define local coordinate systems on which calculations of distance, direction, and area are less complex than the equivalent computations on the ellipsoid.

Many projections can be defined in terms of a particular geodetic datum, but each projection can only be linked to a single geodetic datum.

The projection process results in the map's spatial representation being distorted. Imagine the stretching and tearing that you would have to do to a basketball to make its curved surface lie flat on the ground. The magnitude of the distortion can be calculated, allowing corrections to be made when necessary.

A rectangular grid coordinate system (similar to our 'flat Earth' grid) is associated with every map projection. Map projection coordinates are described in terms of Northing and Easting, being distances to the North and East of an origin. They are usually expressed in units of metres.

There are many different types of map projections, each one representing a different way of distorting the surface of the ellipsoid into a plane. One of the most commonly used is the Transverse Mercator Projection.

## 2 GEODESY AND GLOBAL REFERENCE FRAMES

*Surveyors increasingly use satellite positioning systems that provide position in terms of global reference frames. It is becoming increasingly important for surveyors to understand these reference frames and how they relate to local reference frames. This section gives an overview of the science of geodesy and the use of global reference frames.*

### **Modern Geodesy and the ITRS/ITRF**

The primary mission of Modern Geodesy is the definition and maintenance of precise geometric and gravimetric reference frames and models, and the provision of high-accuracy positioning techniques for users to connect to these frames.

The International Association of Geodesy (IAG) has established services for all the major satellite geodesy techniques:


- International Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Service (IGS);
- International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS);
- International Very Long Baseline Interferometry Service (IVS);
- International Doppler Orbitography and Radio positioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS) Service (IDS);
- the International Gravity Field Service (IGFS); and others.

These services generate a wide range of products, including precise satellite orbits, ground station coordinates, Earth rotation and orientation values, gravity field quantities and atmospheric parameters, all of which are vital to the definition of the terrestrial and celestial reference systems. These reference systems are the foundation for all operational geodetic applications associated with mapping and charting, navigation, spatial data acquisition and management, as well as support for the geosciences.

The International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) forms the basis for describing celestial coordinates, and the International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS) is the foundation for the definition of terrestrial coordinates to the highest possible accuracy. The definitions of these systems include the orientation and origin of their axes, scale, physical constants and models used in their realization, e.g. the size, shape and orientation of the reference ellipsoid that approximates the geoid and the Earth's gravity field model. The coordinate transformation between the ICRS and ITRS is described by a sequence of rotations that account for variations in the orientation of the Earth's rotation axis and its rotational speed.

While a reference system is a mathematical abstraction, its practical realization through geodetic observations is known as a reference frame. The conventional realization of the ITRS is the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF), which is a set of coordinates, linear velocities, post-seismic deformation models and annual/semi-annual corrections for well-defined fundamental ground stations. In the case of the ITRF, these are the observatory stations of the IGS, ILRS, IVS, IDS ground networks, derived from space-geodetic observations collected at these points, and computed and disseminated by the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS).

The solid surface of the Earth consists of a number of large tectonic plates (and many smaller ones whose boundaries are less well defined) that slide across the lithosphere,



**Figure 4:** Geodetic reference stations.

in the process colliding with other plates. The speed of the plates may be as high as a decimetre or more per year, though typically tectonic plate motion is of the order of a few centimetres per year relative to a fixed coordinate framework. That framework is realized by the fixed axes of the ITRF – defined in an inverse sense by tracking the orientation of the axes relative to the Earth’s (moving) crust, and tracking of the location of the origin of the Cartesian system with respect to the (moving) geocentre, using geodetic techniques.

There have been several different realizations of the ITRF since 1989, each designated as *ITRFyyyy* where *yyyy* refers to the year of observation for the most recent data used in the computation of station coordinates and velocities. This may be different to the reference epoch, which is the date to which station coordinates and velocities are referenced.

Initially computed on an annual basis, since 1997 the new ITRF realizations have been released by the IERS at 3–5 year intervals, with the latest being ITRF2020. Although each successive ITRF is more internally accurate than the previous one, the primary difference in the coordinates of the ground stations between different ITRFs reflects the motion of stations due to local crustal deformation and global plate tectonics between the reference epochs of the two frames.

### ***ITRF Stations***

A modern datum is defined by the 3D coordinates of a global, national or local network of fundamental stations at an instant in time. It may be the same epoch year as the *ITRFyyyy* reference frame, or any arbitrary epoch. Today it is comparatively easy to compute the coordinates of any ground station in a geocentric reference frame such as ITRF, at that instantaneous epoch of measurement using GNSS technology (see Chapter 10).

### ***Global Geodetic Observing System***

In order to address the ever-increasing performance requirements for global change monitoring, the IAG established in 2007 the Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS).


GGOS's goal is to coordinate all of the geodetic services and provide high-level products through a single portal. It will also fuel the next revolution in modern geodesy – the unified analysis of all geodetic data through common models – so as to drive an order of magnitude improvement in geodetic accuracy (reference frame stability, quality of geodetic products and models, etc.). In other words, GGOS will promote the development of tools and observing systems to ensure the ITRF can be defined and monitored to millimetre accuracy, with stability at the mm/yr level.

The mission of GGOS is:

- (1) to provide the observations needed to monitor, map and understand changes in the Earth's shape, rotation and mass distribution;
- (2) to improve the quality of the global reference frames so that they may provide the fundamental backbone for measuring and interpreting key global change processes; and
- (3) to support a variety of applications in geoscience and society for precise positioning, gravity field mapping and modelling.

The resultant improvement in reference frame accuracy and stability will not only benefit critical scientific studies such as measuring sea level rise, but also will provide a stronger framework for precise (centimetre-level) GNSS-enabled positioning in national, regional or global datums.

At a practical level, the integration of the outputs of all the IAG services implies a coordinated upgrade of the ground station infrastructure (the stations in the IGS, ILRS, IVS, IDS networks); an increase in the number of co-located stations of the different space



**Figure 5:** Function of reference frame in measuring and modeling Earth systems.



geodesy techniques; and steady improvement and continuity of many critical geodetic satellite missions (altimetric, GNSS, gravity mapping, etc). Under the GGOS initiative, increased investment in geodetic infrastructure such as GNSS permanent reference stations is strongly encouraged.

### ***Further Information***

- International Association of Geodesy (IAG)  
[www.iag-aig.org](http://www.iag-aig.org)
- International GNSS Service (IGS)  
[www.igs.org](http://www.igs.org)
- International Laser Ranging Service (ILRS)  
[ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov](http://ilrs.gsfc.nasa.gov)
- International VLBI Service (IVS)  
[ivscc.gsfc.nasa.gov](http://ivscc.gsfc.nasa.gov)
- International DORIS Service  
[ids-doris.org](http://ids-doris.org)
- International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS)  
[www.iers.org](http://www.iers.org)
- International Gravity Field Service (IGFS)  
<http://igfs.topo.auth.gr/>
- International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF)  
[itrf.ign.fr](http://itrf.ign.fr)
- Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS)  
<https://ggos.org/obs>

### 3 GLOBAL TERRESTRIAL REFERENCE SYSTEMS AND FRAMES

*It is often necessary to transform between different global reference frames. This section provides a more in-depth overview for the surveyor who wishes to have knowledge of global terrestrial reference systems and frames and transformations between them.*

#### **Introduction**

The International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) was established in 1988 with a mission of providing:

- The International Celestial Reference System (ICRS) and its realization – the International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF).
- The International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS), and its realization – the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF).
- Earth orientation parameters (EOPs) to describe the time-varying relationship between the ICRF and ITRF.
- And standards, constants, and models for international consistency, as well as related geophysical data for interpretation.

The ICRF consists of equatorial coordinates of extragalactic radio sources observed with VLBI forming a nearly inertial frame while the ITRF consists of a set of 3D Cartesian coordinates and corresponding velocities which, in theory, strive to form an ideal Earth-centred, crust-fixed reference frame.

#### **Handling relationships between Global Reference Frames**

A Euclidean similarity transformation can relate two non-deformable Cartesian reference frames via seven parameters. The parameters are defined by:

- three translation components  $T = (T_1 \ T_2 \ T_3)^T$ ,
- three differential rotation angles  $(R_1, R_2, R_3)$  and,
- one scale term,  $D$ .

As an example, if a coordinate vector  $X_1 = (x_1 \ y_1 \ z_1)^T$  is represented in one reference frame, the same coordinate can be represented in the second reference frame as  $X_2 = (x_2 \ y_2 \ z_2)^T$  by using the following equation (linearized assuming relatively small transformation values):

$$X_2 = X_1 + T + DX_1 + RX_1 \tag{Equation (1)}$$

with:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_3 & -R_2 \\ -R_3 & 0 & R_1 \\ R_2 & -R_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{Equation (2)}$$

The differential rotation matrix  $R$  is consistent with positive counterclockwise (anticlockwise) rotation of axes. \*\* The IERS uses the opposite rotational sign convention.


In practice, the seven transformation parameters change with time, thus, differentiating Equation (1) with respect to time it can be written:

$$\dot{X}_2 = \dot{X}_1 + \dot{T} + \dot{D}X_1 + D\dot{X}_1 + \dot{R}X_1 + R\dot{X}_1 \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

$D$  and  $R$  are of  $10^{-5}$  level and  $\dot{X}$  is about 10 cm per year, the terms  $D\dot{X}_1$  and  $R\dot{X}_1$  are negligible, representing 0.1 mm over 100 years. Therefore, Equation (3) can be reduced to:

$$\dot{X}_2 = \dot{X}_1 + \dot{T} + \dot{D}X_1 + \dot{R}X_1 \quad \text{Equation (4)}$$

## General Transformation between Coordinates $X1$ and $X2$



**Figure 6:** Diagram showing the parameters of a general transformation between two 3-D coordinate systems.

## International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF)

The ITRF is a global, geocentric 3D reference frame co-rotating with the Earth's crust in its diurnal motion in space. The most recent realization of the ITRF is the ITRF2020, with the reference epoch 2015.00 and full variance-covariance information. This frame is equivalent to GPS-determined IGS20 in terms of datum but positional offsets have been applied to the latter to compensate for a change in antenna calibrations made by the International GNSS Service (IGS). The ITRF2020 frame is specified as follows:

1. **Origin:** At the long-term average geocentre mass of the total Earth system including its envelope of liquid and gaseous fluids). This point is realized through the dynamic motions of the satellites tracked by satellite laser ranging (SLR).
2. **Z-axis:** Originally directed toward the conventional definition of the North Pole, or more precisely, towards the conventional terrestrial pole (CTP) as defined by the International Earth Rotation Service (IERS) but now realized by continuity (in offset and rate) of each ITRF realization with its predecessor.
3. **X-axis:** Passes through the point of zero longitude (approximately of the Greenwich meridian) as defined by the IERS (and also realized by continuity.)
4. **Y-axis:** Forms a right-handed coordinate system with the x- and z-axes (and also realized by continuity).

## ***Geodetic Techniques Contributing to the ITRF***

There are four space geodetic techniques that contribute to defining ITRF, all on a global scale. They are:

- 1. Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS)** – consists of a constellation of at least 24 satellites in six orbital planes, which disseminates continuous worldwide navigation and timing information. The system provides very accurate, 3-D positional information to support the military as well as the civilian and commercial users.
- 2. Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI)** – consists of an array of very large radio telescopes that receive continuum microwave electromagnetic waves from extra-galactic quasars and pulsars to measure interferometric delay observations via direct cross-correlation of the signal streams.
- 3. Satellite Laser Ranging (SLR)** – consists of a network of stations that measure the round trip time of flight of very short laser pulses between ground stations and Earth-orbiting satellites and the Moon with retro-reflectors. This technique is one of the most accurate in determining the position of satellites as well as determining temporal variations in the Earth's gravity field and the geocentre, and is also used for many Earth-monitoring missions such as ocean altimetry.
- 4. Doppler Orbitography and Radio positioning Integrated by Satellite (DORIS)** – is a Doppler tracking system with a primary purpose of determining precise orbits and ground locations. Microwave signals are transmitted from a network of about 60 terrestrial beacons and observed by receivers on satellites orbiting the Earth. DORIS is routinely used to measure orbits of Earth monitoring satellites (with radial accuracies at the 1 cm level), such as for oceans topography.

## ***World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS84)***

The World Geodetic System of 1984 was designed by the National Geospatial Intelligence Agency (NGA) with the primary purpose of supporting the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) and the armed forces. The reference frame is very similar to ITRF in specification. The latest realization was released on January 7, 2024 and is known as WGS84 (G2296). Routine updates to WGS84 are performed by NGA to align and conform to the latest realization of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF).

## ***Further Information***

- An Overview of GNSS Reference Frames is provided by the International Committee on Global Navigation Satellite Systems (ICG) – <http://www.oosa.unvienna.org/oosa/en/SAP/gnss/icg/regrefsys.html>
- International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS) [www.iers.org](http://www.iers.org)
- International Celestial Reference Frame (ICRF) [www.iers.org/IERS/EN/DataProducts/ICRF/ICRF/icrf.html](http://www.iers.org/IERS/EN/DataProducts/ICRF/ICRF/icrf.html)

## 4 REGIONAL AND NATIONAL REFERENCE FRAMES

*Surveyors often make measurements in terms of regional or national reference frames. As our ability to make more precise measurements over longer distances continues to improve, we need to be concerned with accommodating the effects of crustal deformation in our datums. This section provides information for the surveyor on the different types of reference frames and datums and how crustal deformation can be accommodated in them.*

### **Global Reference Frames**

A global reference frame is typically the primary basis for the definition of a coordinate system used in applied geodesy. Examples include the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) and the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84). These frames are geocentric having the geocentre (the centre of mass of the Earth) as the origin and orthogonal axes aligned with poles, equator and Greenwich meridian according to IERS conventions. The ITRF is realized by the coordinates and site velocities of a network of global stations and forms the basis for modern regional and national reference frames or geodetic datums. The most recent realizations of ITRF have positional uncertainties of contributing stations in the order of millimetres. ITRF station velocities are described with respect to a no-net-rotation (NNR) condition where the angular momenta of all of the global tectonic plates sum to zero.

### **Regional Reference Frames**

Regional reference frames are denser networks of geodetic stations typically covering continental areas. Examples include the European Terrestrial Reference Frame (EUREF), North American Datum 1983 (NAD83), African Reference Frame (AFREF), Sistema de Referencia Geocéntrico para las América (SIRGAS), Arabian Reference Frame (ARABREF) and the Asia-Pacific Reference Frame (APREF).

As with ITRF, regional reference frames are defined by the coordinates and site velocities of contributing stations. The key difference with some regional reference frames (e.g. EUREF and NAD83) and ITRF is that the site velocities may be with respect to the dominant tectonic plate encompassed by the frame and not a NNR condition. This approach minimizes site velocities. Regional frames not constrained by the motion of a single tectonic plate are closely aligned with ITRF.

### **National Reference Frames**

Modern national reference frames are typically a static realization of ITRF or a regional reference frame. In most countries, the coordinates of a national reference frame (or geodetic datum) form the basis for all surveying, positioning and mapping within national borders. Because surveying/ GIS software and spatial data are not generally designed to deal with continuously changing coordinates, the epoch for national datums is fixed and the coordinates are considered invariant with time.

### **Types of Geodetic Datums**

**Static geodetic datums** aligned with a fixed epoch (or reference epoch) of an ITRF realization currently support most spatial users; however, there are some practical limi-

tations with a static datum if GNSS positioning techniques are used. GNSS point positioning uses orbit models defined in ITRF or WGS84 reference frames. Consequently, the precise location within these frames will change as a function of time due to tectonic processes and other deformation sources such as subsidence, soil creep and post-glacial uplift. Centimetre-level precise positioning is rapidly becoming more widely accessible and inexpensive. Unless precise GNSS positions are localized (e.g. via a local CORS or site transformation at a geodetic reference mark), users will notice positions of 'fixed' (in a local reference frame) objects changing every few months. Rigid tectonic plates rotate slowly over the Earth's mantle however the rotation is rapid enough to introduce errors in static GNSS baseline processing and RTK over long baselines if the rotation rate is high and there are large intervals between the measurement and reference epochs.

In many locations near tectonic plate boundaries such as the Western states of the USA, Chile, Japan, Indonesia, China, Papua New Guinea, New Zealand, Greece, Pakistan and North Africa, the assumption of a static datum at a national level is inappropriate. In these locations, the magnitude of plate boundary deformation can be as much as several cm/year between any two geodetic stations in the national network, with metres of deformation possible after large earthquakes.


To enable precise GNSS positions to be used within the context of a static geodetic datum, these complex deformations are required to be modelled in some way. A **semi-kinematic datum** (or semi-dynamic datum) is one where a deformation model forms an integral part of the datum definition. GNSS point position determination and geodetic data analysis is undertaken in the kinematic ITRF, using the latest realization of ITRF. Resulting coordinates are then propagated back to the fixed reference epoch of the semi-kinematic datum so that spatial data can be integrated seamlessly over long periods. The utility of the semi-kinematic datum approach is that precision data analysis is not degraded by unmodelled deformation, however, to the end user, the geodetic datum appears to be static at a fixed reference epoch.

A **fully kinematic datum** overcomes a lot of the limitations of unmodelled deformation in positioning, however the major limitation is that it is very difficult to integrate spatial data acquired over a longer period, unless a precise deformation model is embedded in the data or somehow explicitly referenced. Inclusion of deformation models in GIS and spatial data infrastructures is limited at this time. Until these models are more widely embedded in commonly used software, a more practical and logical approach is to apply a deformation model after GNSS data capture so that the resulting coordinates can be used in 'static' spatial data frameworks and GIS.

## ***Deformation Models***

There are currently several approaches to using deformation models in practical geodetic applications. For regions located on rigid tectonic plates, a rigid plate rotation model (3-parameter) defining the Euler pole of rotation can be used. Another approach is to use a 14-parameter transformation (7-parameter conformal transformation plus their respective rates of change).


Rigid plate transformations do not work satisfactorily in areas where internal deformation is occurring or is complex in nature. A gridded deformation model approach is currently used in New Zealand to enable transformation from ITRF at the measurement



**Figure 7:** Observed and modeled deformation through time.

epoch to NZGD2000 (epoch 2000.0). Gridded deformation models can have variable resolution to suit the complexity of deformation and the precision requirements of users. Such a deformation model is computed primarily from dense geodetic networks with repeat observations made over a sufficiently long period of time to estimate the ITRF site velocities for stations in the network. These site velocities can then be analysed and inverted to estimate Euler poles of rigid crustal blocks, slip distribution and then subsequently site velocities over a regular grid. A typical deformation model is described by a grid of latitudes and longitudes with associated site velocities in East (longitude), North (latitude) and Up (elevation) components.

Episodic deformation events such as earthquakes require supplementary deformation modelling. These events are often significant at localized scales and may warrant a permanent change in the coordinates at a post-event epoch to reflect reality on the ground. An episodic deformation model (or patch model) can account for these local-



**Figure 8:** Interseismic velocities and seismic patch deformation.

ized deformation events and the use of the patch model enables GNSS technology to be used in a pre-earthquake spatial infrastructure by applying the patch model in reverse mode. The patch model is defined in much the same way as the site velocity model but with deformation magnitudes fixed to cover the period of the earthquake, aftershocks and post-seismic deformation. Again, the source data for the patch model are typically repeated GNSS measurements at a dense network of passive geodetic stations. However, controlled InSAR, LiDAR, high-resolution imagery and geophysical models can also be used to define a grid of surface deformations associated with a deformation event.



## 5 HEIGHT SYSTEMS

*Traditionally, the surveyor is interested in determining heights in terms of sea level for reasons related to water flow; e.g. how high is a building above a flooding river? Whilst GNSS positioning systems can easily determine heights relative to the ellipsoid, these must be converted to the more useful sea level heights for many applications. This section describes the various height systems and how heights can be transformed between these systems.*

### ***The relationship between gravity and the World Height System (WHS)***

Traditional levelling, height systems, and vertical datums relate to positioning in the Earth's gravity field. Moving away from the Earth's centre (geocentre) produces a lower potential of gravity (geopotential), which is directly related to the height change. Geopotential is measured in units of  $m^2/s^2$ , and is usually divided by some value of gravity to arrive at a height e.g.

$$m^2/s^2 \div m/s^2 = m$$

Normal gravity ( $g$ ) from the ellipsoid yields normal heights. The mean gravity value from the surface down to the geoid along the plumb line gives orthometric heights. A constant value of gravity (usually  $g$  at 45 degrees latitude) gives dynamic heights. These heights are all different, but they all use the same geopotential numbers. So, unification of height systems could be based on a Global or Earth Gravity Model (GGM and EGM respectively).

One such model currently available is the Earth Gravity Model of 2008 (EGM2008) produced by the US National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA). Such a model can be used to generate various aspects of the Earth's gravity field including geoid heights and gravity anomalies. An updated version (EGM2020) is expected in the near future.

### ***How GNSS Measurements are linked to local orthometric heights***

The primary link is through the geoid height model. As noted previously, a geoid height is measured from a specific ellipsoid surface to a specific geoid surface or vertical datum. Hence geoid height models are ellipsoid heights and intrinsically tied to a specific geometric reference frame. Ellipsoid heights ( $h$ ) determined using GNSS techniques in a specified reference frame can be transformed with the associated geoid heights ( $N$ ) to estimate orthometric heights ( $H$ ) according to the following formula:

$$H = h - N$$

The order of operations to link GNSS heights to orthometric heights is then:

- Obtain your position using GNSS in the required reference frame
- Interpolate the geoid height model to the determined latitude & longitude
- Subtract the interpolated geoid height from the observed ellipsoid height
- This gives the desired orthometric height.

## ***The relationships among the various aspects of the Earth's gravity field***

The average Earth model is best described by an ellipsoid of rotation. It has a certain mass, spin rate, and shape that matches the real Earth to 99.999%. However, the missing bit is equal to +/- 100 metres, which is significant at human scales. The difference arises from the lack of uniformity in the way the Earth's mass is distributed. Variations in the Earth's mass are directly related to all aspects of the Earth's gravity field. If the Earth were uniform in distribution of mass, then the ellipsoid model might be sufficient. However, the Earth's mass varies greatly and exactly how it varies is of much interest.

Thinner submerged oceanic rock lies next to continental rock, which is ten times thicker. This is a long wavelength gravity signal, because it describes features at continental and oceanic scales. The Earth's topography provides a lot of short wavelength gravity signal, because the density contrast between rock and air is much greater than the contrasts between different types of rock. The gravitational effect of an entire mountain range is long wavelength, but the effect of a single mountain is short wavelength.

The cumulative effect of all the Earth's masses are expressed in different but related functions of the gravity field (called functionals). Gravity and geopotential are two functionals already mentioned, but values associated with these full signal functionals are quite large. Most gravity field applications remove some type of ellipsoid reference model value. The Geodetic Reference System of 1980 (GRS80) and World Geodetic System of 1984 (WGS84) are two such models.

The difference between actual gravity ( $g$ ) and Normal gravity from the ellipsoid ( $\gamma$ ) is a gravity anomaly ( $\Delta g$ ). The difference between the real-world geopotential ( $W$ ) and ellipsoid potential ( $U$ ) is the disturbing potential ( $T$ ). Geoid heights ( $N$ ) represent the difference between heights above the ellipsoid ( $h$ ) and heights above the geoid or vertical datum ( $H$ ).

$$\Delta g = g - \gamma$$

$$T = W - U$$

$$N = h - H$$

In turn, both geoid heights and gravity anomalies can be related to the disturbing potential:

$$\Delta g = -(\partial T / \partial r) - (2 T) / r \quad N = T / \gamma$$

Both gravity anomalies and geoid heights are expressed in terms of geopotential and can be related through a complex formula called the Stokes equation. This is useful because gravity observations are easily made and manipulated to determine a geoid for use as a vertical datum.

## ***How geoid models are determined and used in vertical datum***

Models like EGM2008 were made from many sources. Orbiting satellites such as GRACE and GOCE provided long wavelength signal. Surface gravity and topography models provided short wavelength signal. Combining them resulted in a sub-meter accurate model for 10 km and larger features. For improved accuracy, regional models are generally required.

Regional models are developed using an EGM as a reference model. They are enhanced by shorter wavelength signal that had to be omitted from the global model to keep the solution of the EGM feasible. Often times, the same surface gravity observations are used but more of the signal is retained in the final product.

Regional models reflect satellite data at the longest wavelengths (>200 km scales), which makes them consistent with any World Height System (WHS). Then surface and airborne gravity data as well as terrain models fill in the shortest wavelengths down to the resolution of the regional model (2–5 km scales). With the added information, regional geoid models can be sub-decimetre to sub-centimetre level accurate. This model can then be combined with GNSS observations to yield orthometric heights.

### ***Further Information***

- Earth Gravity Model 2008 (EGM2008)  
<https://earth-info.nga.mil/index.php?dir=wgs84&action=wgs84>
- Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE)  
<https://www2.csr.utexas.edu/grace/>
- Gravity field and Ocean Circulation Explorer (GOCE)  
<https://earth.esa.int/eogateway/missions/goce>
- Geodetic Reference System 1980 (GRS-80)  
[https://web.archive.org/web/20051225213554/http://www.gfy.ku.dk/~iag/HB2000/part4/grs80\\_corr.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20051225213554/http://www.gfy.ku.dk/~iag/HB2000/part4/grs80_corr.htm)
- International Service for the Geoid (ISG)  
<https://www.isgeoid.polimi.it/>
- Stokes Equation  
<https://www1.grc.nasa.gov/beginners-guide-to-aeronautics/navier-strokes-equation/>

## 6 TRANSFORMING BETWEEN DATUMS

*The surveyor is often required to transform data between different datums. This might be transforming newly derived coordinates in terms of an official datum to an older legacy datum or vice versa. This section provides information on the commonly used transformation methods and some of the more specific cases used for transforming between datums.*

### **Introduction**

Coordinates can be converted from one datum to another if the relationship between the two is known. The relationship is described by two components, being:

- a set of formulae which describe the mathematics of the transformation process,
- a set of parameters, referred to as transformation parameters that are used in the formulae.

Often more than one transformation may be defined to convert between datums. For example, there may be simple low accuracy transformations and more complex transformations to higher accuracy.

The transformation parameters are derived by analysing survey control stations which have coordinates on both datums. The minimum number of stations required for this process depends on the transformation method being proposed. However, there is no maximum limit, and in general, as many stations as possible will be used.

The accuracy of a transformation can be assessed by comparing transformed coordinates at points that have observed coordinates defined in both datums.

Consider the typical situation where we have:

- Coordinate Set 1 which has been computed from Network 1 on Datum 1,
- Coordinate Set 2 which has been computed from Network 2 on Datum 2.

If the coordinates from Set 1 are converted to Datum 2, they will generally not exactly match the coordinates of Set 2. This is because the coordinate sets are derived from different measurement sets or network geometries (for example, Network 1 may have been measured by triangulation and Network 2 by GNSS). One network will appear offset and distorted relative to the other.

The differences between the transformed Datum 1 coordinates and the network Datum 2 coordinates are known as residuals. Their magnitude provides an indication of the quality of the network held in the two datums, as well as an indication of the accuracy of the transformation between those datums.

Transformation parameters are commonly generated by government mapping organisations and are freely available to users. They are also commonly embedded in software, such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS).

### **Similarity transformations**

Modern 3D datums are commonly related using similarity transformations, which allow for a difference in location, rotation, and scale between the two datums, but do not allow any distortion in them. These include 3-, 7- and 14-parameter transformations.

The 7-parameter transformation expresses the relationship between the two datums in terms of a translation, rotation, and scale factor. The translation and rotation are defined for each of the 3 coordinate axes, making a total of 7-parameters.

The following formulae are used to convert Cartesian coordinates from Datum 1 to Datum 2 values using a 7-parameter transformation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_2 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_X \\ T_Y \\ T_Z \end{bmatrix} + (1 + \Delta_S) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -R_Z & +R_Y \\ +R_Z & 1 & -R_X \\ -R_Y & +R_X & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ Y_1 \\ Z_1 \end{bmatrix}$$


Where:

- $X_1, Y_1, Z_1$  are the Cartesian coordinates of Datum 1
- $X_2, Y_2, Z_2$  are the Cartesian coordinates of Datum 2
- $T_X, T_Y, T_Z$  is the difference between the centres of the two ellipsoids
- $R_X, R_Y, R_Z$  are the rotations around the three coordinate axes in radians,
- $\Delta_S$  is the scale difference between the coordinate systems as a ratio.

Typically, the rotations are published in units of arc seconds or milli-arc seconds (mas), and the scale as parts per million (ppm) or parts per billion (ppb).

Note that these formulae, commonly used in geodesy, are simplified on the assumption that the rotations are small (less than a few arc seconds).

Note also that there is ambiguity in the sign convention for rotations. Rotations supplied by some agencies may need to be negated to be used in these formulae.



**Figure 9:** Diagram showing the 7-parameters of transformation between two ellipsoids.

The 14-parameter transformation is a variation of the 7-parameter transformation in which each parameter is assigned both a value at a reference epoch and a rate of change. For example, the rotation about the X axis will be represented by its value  $R_{X0}$  at the reference epoch  $t_0$  and its rate of change  $R'_{X0}$ . The rotation  $R_X$  at time  $t$  is calculated as:

$$R_X = R_{X0} + (t - t_0) R'_{X0}$$

The 14-parameter transformation is often used to express the relationship between modern high accuracy datums, such as the International Terrestrial Frame (ITRF) realisations – see <https://itrf.ign.fr/en/solutions/Transformations>.

The 3-parameter transformation is a special case of the 7-parameter transformation in which the rotations and scale difference are 0 – the datums differ only in location.

### ***Other transformation methods***

The 3-, 7-, and 14-parameter transformations apply between three-dimensional datums, typically established by GNSS surveys. That is they assume that the datum defines ellipsoidal heights as well as latitude and longitude. Also they do not account for possible distortion in the datums (other than a difference in scale).

Older datums are often two-dimensional – they define latitude and longitude but not ellipsoidal height. They may be distorted due to the limitations of the survey techniques with which they were established, and due to deformation that has occurred since then.

These datums may still have 3- or 7-parameter similarity transformations defined relating them to their modern counterparts. While these cannot account for the distortion, they are well supported by survey software and may be sufficiently accurate for many users. When using such transformations the height ordinate may be ignored. A more complex definition is needed for accurate transformations between such datums.

One commonly used approach is based on a transformation grid. This is a grid of points defined at latitudes and longitudes in Datum1. At each grid point, the corresponding latitude and longitude at Datum2 is defined. The transformation at other points is defined by interpolating across the grid cell in which the point lies.

Grid transformations are often published using the NTV2 format, used in many jurisdictions and supported by a wide range of GIS software – for more details see <https://webapp.csrscs.nrcan-rncan.gc.ca/geod/tools-outils/ntv2.php>.

Another example of a transformation method to represent the relationship between a local grid datum and a national geodetic datum using a best fitting Transverse Mercator projection, as used in Sweden – see: [https://www.lantmateriet.se/contentassets/4a728c7e9f0145569edd5eb81fececa7/rapport\\_reit\\_eng.pdf](https://www.lantmateriet.se/contentassets/4a728c7e9f0145569edd5eb81fececa7/rapport_reit_eng.pdf).

## 7 TRANSFORMING BETWEEN DATUMS IN NON-STATIC REFERENCE FRAMES

*Surveyors are increasingly working in non-static reference frames, which account for the effects of crustal movements. This section details the specific case for transforming between these non-static reference frames.*

### **Introduction**

Non-static reference frames may be described using a number of terms, such as kinematic or semi-kinematic, dynamic or semi-dynamic. What they all have in common is a means (and requirement) to account for the fact that the Earth is always moving.

### **Use Cases**

Government organizations and software vendors will often make tools available to facilitate such transformations. However, there are situations where the surveyor needs to understand and be able to carry out these transformations. For example:

1. New software or equipment is being tested,
2. Official models used in transformations are not sufficiently accurate for the project area,
3. Software or online transformation tools are unavailable.

### **Transforming from ITRF to a local non-static reference frame**

Deriving precise coordinates in the latest ITRF realization has been greatly simplified through the provision of online GNSS processing services. Many of these provide absolute position, using the Precise Point Positioning (PPP) technique. However, most jurisdictions or positioning applications require coordinates in terms of a local reference frame. While we could make relative connections to control provided by the national geodetic agency, this is not always the most efficient method. A transformation can be used to convert the ITRF coordinates to the local reference frame.


### **Worked Example**

Submitting GNSS data to an online PPP processing service enables ITRF2008 (Epoch 2012.16) coordinates for seven existing and three new stations in New Zealand to be obtained. In the area of our survey, the official reference frame is ITRF96 (Epoch 2000.0). Each of the seven existing stations has published values for ITRF96 (Epoch 2000.0). There is a gridded velocity model available for our area of interest. Note that throughout this example, coordinates and velocities are in terms of the geocentric reference frame.

Step 1: Calculate ITRF96 Epoch 2012.16 Coordinates

In this step, we use the official velocity model and published ITRF96 (Epoch 2000.0) coordinates to calculate ITRF96 coordinates at the same epoch for which we have observed ITRF2008 coordinates (Epoch 2012.16), using Equation (1):

$$x_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2012.16} = x_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2000.0} + 12.16v_{XYZ} \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$



**Figure 10:** Existing stations (red triangles), new stations (green triangles) and gridded velocities.

Using Equation (1) for station GLDB:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{2012.16} = \begin{bmatrix} -4792405.831 \\ 628416.781 \\ -4148068.669 \end{bmatrix} + 12.16 \begin{bmatrix} -0.0285 \\ 0.0045 \\ 0.0333 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4792406.177 \\ 628416.835 \\ -4148068.263 \end{bmatrix}$$

Published ITRF96
Interpolated from  
Epoch 2000.0
published velocity  
coordinates
model

Step 2: Calculate transformation parameters between ITRF96 and ITRF2008 at Epoch 2012.16

Here we use the well-known least squares method to estimate transformation parameters. In this case, we choose to estimate only three parameters, due to the limited extents of the survey area when compared to the Earth as a whole. We use least squares to obtain the best solution, as we have more observations than parameters.

- Functional model:  $At = b$ , where  $A$  is the design matrix,  $b$  = Calculated (ITRF96) minus observed (ITRF2008) and  $t$  is the matrix of unknown transformation parameters



- Stochastic model:  $W = I$ , in this case we choose to weight all coordinates equally
- So we have the standard least squares equations:

$$t = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T b \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$\text{Cov}(t) = \sigma_0^2 (A^T A)^{-1} \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

Aposteriori Standard Error of Unit Weight,

$$\sigma_0^2 = (A^T t - b)^T (A^T t - b) / (\text{degrees of freedom}) \quad \text{Equation (4)}$$

- This is a linear problem, so no need to iterate

<i>GLDB</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4792406.177	-4792406.117	-0.06
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	628416.835	628416.851	-0.016
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4148068.263	-4148068.23	-0.033
<i>NLSN</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4775888.435	-4775888.398	-0.037
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	549740.211	549740.200	0.011
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4177981.109	-4177981.061	-0.048
<i>KAIK</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4685479.521	-4685479.471	-0.05
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	531055.197	531055.245	-0.048
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4280819.034	-4280819.009	-0.025
<i>WGTN</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4777269.652	-4777269.602	-0.05
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	434270.387	434270.406	-0.019
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4189484.267	-4189484.221	-0.046
<i>MAST</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4801933.943	-4801933.888	-0.055
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	370789.222	370789.24	-0.018
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4167752.305	-4167752.257	-0.048
<i>DNVK</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4860760.939	-4860760.892	-0.047
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	325692.752	325692.771	-0.019
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4103646.312	-4103646.255	-0.057
<i>WANG</i>	<i>x</i>	1	0	0	-4888073.52	-4888073.493	-0.027
	<i>y</i>	0	1	0	443004.771	443004.775	-0.004
	<i>z</i>	0	0	1	-4060015.325	-4060015.31	-0.015

Calculated ITRF96  
Epoch 2012.16  
coordinates

Observed  
ITRF2008 Epoch  
2012.16  
coordinates

The calculated transformation parameters using Equations (2), (3) and (4) are:

- $SEUW = 0.015 \text{ m}$
- $t_x = -0.046 \pm 0.006 \text{ m}$
- $t_y = -0.016 \pm 0.006 \text{ m}$
- $t_z = -0.039 \pm 0.006 \text{ m}$

Note: In this case least squares simply gives us the average of the coordinate differences, so we could have avoided the matrix algebra, but would not get the precision information so easily.

Step 3: Calculate survey epoch coordinate for new stations

We now apply the transformation parameters to obtain an ITRF96 (Epoch 2012.16) coordinate for each new station:

$$x_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2012.16} = x_{ITRF2008 \text{ Epoch } 2012.16} + t \quad \text{Equation (5)}$$

Using Equation (5) for station CLIM:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2012.16} = \begin{bmatrix} -4793404.120 \\ 407108.010 \\ -4175081.520 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -0.046 \\ -0.016 \\ -0.039 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4793404.167 \\ 407107.994 \\ -4175081.559 \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 4: Calculate reference epoch coordinate for new stations

$$x_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2000} = x_{NZGD2000 \text{ Epoch } 2012.16} - 12.16v_{xyz} \quad \text{Equation (6)}$$

Using Equation (6) for station CLIM:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}_{ITRF96 \text{ Epoch } 2000.0} = \begin{bmatrix} -4793404.167 \\ 407107.994 \\ -4175081.559 \end{bmatrix} - 12.16 \begin{bmatrix} -0.0196 \\ 0.0277 \\ 0.0250 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4793403.928 \\ 407107.657 \\ -4175081.864 \end{bmatrix}$$

## 8 REFERENCE FRAME PARAMETER ESTIMATION VIA THE TECHNIQUE OF LEAST SQUARES

*When making a set of measurements the surveyor will often be required to test the accuracy of those measurements and identify any outliers or errors. This is most commonly carried out using the method of Least Squares. This section focuses on the propagation of an international or regional reference frame onto national or local stations.*

### **Introduction**

Fundamental to the task of realising geodetic reference frames, whether they be local, national, regional or global, is the estimation of parameters for fundamental ground stations from repeated sets of measurements observed over those stations. The parameters of interest can include, for example, reference frame origin, orientation and scale, station coordinates, linear velocities, geoid-ellipsoid separations, datum distortions and deformation coefficients. The measurements are predominantly in the form of continuous measurement streams obtained from Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) sites.

Whilst every geodesist/surveyor involved in the measurement process will always endeavour to obtain the most accurate and precise measurements, the true value of the parameters being estimated can never be derived with absolute certainty due to the inescapable influence of error on the measurement process. This holds true for even the most sophisticated and precise geodetic measuring techniques.

To achieve the most reliable estimate of station parameters and their uncertainties, whilst at the same time affording the geodesist a means for identifying and eliminating unacceptable measurement error, a rigorous and reliable estimation technique should be used. For reference frame realisation, the technique of least squares is ideally suited to the task of estimating reference frame parameters.

### **Reference Frame Definition and Propagation**

In the context of reference frame geodesy, an adjustment will usually be undertaken to satisfy one of two objectives – to *define* (or establish) a reference frame or to *propagate* a reference frame onto other stations. For the former, which is intended to establish the primary parameters of the reference frame (as in a particular realisation of ITRF), plus the positions, velocities and uncertainties of the fundamental stations, a large set of measurements from a variety of space and terrestrial geodetic measurement techniques will be included in the one solution. The realisation of ITRF is the responsibility of the International Earth Rotation and Reference Systems Service (IERS).

When propagating a reference frame onto other marks connected to the fundamental stations, the positions and uncertainties of the fundamental stations will be introduced into the solution as measured quantities. This is performed so that the location, orientation and scale of the reference frame will constrain the solution and thereby yield positions and uncertainties aligned to that frame. This is the procedure normally followed when establishing regional, national and local reference frames.

## ***The Mathematical Model – the vital link between Geodetic Measurements and Reference Frame Parameters***

The first step in estimating parameters using the least squares technique is to identify the mathematical model that appropriately relates measurements to the parameters of interest. In matrix form, the relationship between a set of measurements  $m$  and unknown parameters  $x$  can be written as:

$$m = Ax$$

where  $A$  is the matrix of coefficients describing the linear mathematical relationship between the two quantities. In classical geodesy, a mathematical model is comprised of a *functional model* and a *stochastic model*.

A **functional model** expresses the deterministic relationship between a measurement and the unknown parameters. In general terms, a measurement  $m$  can be expressed as:

$$m = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the parameters to be estimated and  $f$  is the function that relates the measurement to the unknown parameters. The functions used in geodesy vary considerably in purpose and complexity, ranging from unity to complex mathematical expressions. Measurement functions may also involve one or more unknown parameters and can contain several coefficients.

A **stochastic model** represents the statistical properties of the measurements and is used to determine the amount of contribution each measurement should have on the solution. The stochastic model may also include additional constraints and estimates of uncertainty to be imposed on the solution. Such estimates may be used to account for known but un-modelled systematic errors in the measurement process. In other cases, the constraints may be in the form of station position uncertainties derived from former solutions.

The stochastic model is represented by a variance matrix  $v$ . The diagonal elements in the variance matrix are expressed in terms of standard deviations squared (i.e. variance). The off-diagonal terms represent statistical dependence (or correlation) between the respective variances. The formulation of GNSS variance matrices is usually handled by GNSS analysis software, although variances for conventional terrestrial measurements may require human intervention. Rigorous estimation of this matrix is of utmost importance to achieving reliable estimates of station parameters and their uncertainties.

Whilst it is possible to estimate parameters from the functional model alone, the functional model and the stochastic model must be considered together if a rigorous and reliable estimate of the parameters is required. Since the parameters are a direct function of the measurements, it follows that the quality of the estimated parameters is a direct function of the quality of the measurements. In addition, the stochastic model provides the fundamental basis upon which measurements and estimated results are tested and assessed for measurement outliers and survey reliability. For the purposes outlined above, a *reliable* stochastic model is the cornerstone to *reliable* least squares estimation and testing.

## **Estimation and Adjustment**

In a well-designed solution, the number of measurements ( $n$ ) will exceed the number of unknown parameters ( $u$ ) and as such, redundancy will exist. That is, the degrees of freedom ( $r = n - u$ ) will be greater than zero. In any solution where there is redundancy, inconsistencies in the functional model will inevitably arise due to the presence of errors in the measurements. Consequently, a number of possible values will exist for the parameters.

The purpose of least squares, therefore, is to arrive at unique values of the parameters by estimating corrections (or adjustments) to each measurement such that the functional and stochastic models are satisfied. In matrix form, the least squares solution attempts to solve the most probable parameter values  $\hat{x}$  by satisfying the following:

$$m + \hat{v} = A\hat{x}$$

Here,  $\hat{v}$  denotes the corrections to the measurements. The least squares algorithm selects values for  $\hat{v}$  such that the unknown parameters  $\hat{x}$  will have maximum probability. This is satisfied by the following condition:

$$w = v^T V_m^{-1} v \rightarrow \text{minimum}$$

This quantity represents the weighted sum of the squares of the corrections to the original measurements, which is where least squares derives its name. By minimising  $w$ , the algorithm for the solution of unknown parameters  $\hat{x}$  from a set of measurements  $m$  and measurement variances  $V_m$  can be written as:

$$\hat{x} = (A^T V_m^{-1} A)^{-1} A^T V_m^{-1} m$$

$$V_{\hat{x}} = (A^T V_m^{-1} A)^{-1}$$

where  $A$  is a linearised design matrix representing the functional model, and  $V_{\hat{x}}$  is the rigorous variance matrix for the estimated parameters.

The information contained in  $V_{\hat{x}}$  is essential to understanding the spatial behaviour of uncertainty in the reference frame. It also provides a rigorous basis for the propagation of uncertainty into all other forms of spatial information aligned with a particular frame, and the means for making various inferences about the absolute and relative quality of geographic features.

## **References**

- Cooper, M. (1974). *Control Surveys in Civil Engineering*. Collins Professional and Technical Books, London.
- Mikhail, E. (1976). *Observations and Least Squares*. IEP, Dun-Donnelley, New York.

## 9 TESTING MEASUREMENTS AND LEAST SQUARES PARAMETER ESTIMATES

The earlier section on Reference Frame Parameter Estimation and Testing via the technique of Least Squares gave a general overview of the topic. This section briefly reviews some basic concepts and techniques for the testing of geodetic measurements and least squares parameter estimates, and for estimating network reliability.

### Introduction

The primary purpose of least squares estimation is to solve for a set of unknown parameters from a set of measurements. A secondary, although equally important purpose of least squares is to provide a basis for validating the *quality* and *reliability* of the system. In the context of reference frame geodesy, least squares validation involves testing:

- (1) the quality of measurements and their *a-priori* precisions, and
- (2) the quality of the least squares solution as a whole.

An additional validation task that may be undertaken is reliability testing, in which the measurements and the geodetic network are tested for *internal* and *external* reliability.

The reasons for testing are well known. Firstly, the assumed precision of a single or set of measurements may not be a realistic indication of the true measurement quality. Secondly, continuous streams of GNSS CORS measurements and repeated campaign measurements may indicate that one or more stations have moved and as a consequence, older measurements no longer represent the real world. In this instance, inconsistencies in the solution will arise and so a means for detecting measurement outliers will become necessary. Thirdly, it is possible that erroneous or sub-standard measurements may have been captured. Failure to detect and correct for the influence of any one of these factors can lead to incorrect statements about the quality and reliability of a least squares solution and the estimated parameters.

### Analysis and Testing of Results

Remember that the least squares algorithm selects values for the corrections to the measurements  $\hat{v}$  such that the unknown parameters  $\hat{x}$  will have maximum probability. This is satisfied by the following condition:

$$w = v^T V_m^{-1} v \rightarrow \text{minimum}$$

To validate the reliability of the least squares solution as a whole,  $w$  should be tested to see whether it is statistically different to the degrees of freedom ( $r$ ). This test is known as the *global test* or the *goodness-of-fit test*.

For the global test,  $w$  is tested using the one-tail, upper-bound  $\chi^2$  probability test at a particular level of confidence ( $\alpha$ ):

$$P[w > \chi_{r,\alpha}^2] > \alpha$$

Whilst it is theoretically possible to test  $w$  at any level of confidence, testing is commonly performed at the 95% confidence level.

Equality between  $w$  and  $r$  infers that the solution is statistically reliable. When  $w$  is significantly greater than  $r$ , in which case  $w$  will exceed the upper confidence limit, then it is inferred that the solution has failed. Reasons for failure can be attributed to one or several causes, such as blunders or outlier measurements, an incorrect stochastic model (e.g. over-optimistic variance matrix) or a shortcoming in the functional model (e.g. two or more GNSS measurements on different reference frames and/or epochs).

To assist in identifying blunders, sub-optimal variance matrices and causes of solution inconsistencies, a *local test* should be employed. For this test, two distributions may be used. Firstly, if the *a-priori* variance matrix  $V_m$  is known to be reliable, the magnitude of the corrections  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  should be tested against the Normal distribution with respect to a specified confidence interval ( $\alpha$ ):

$$\frac{v_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} > N(0, \alpha)$$

Secondly, if  $V_m$  is deemed unreliable, the magnitude of the corrections should be validated using the Student's t distribution:

$$\frac{v_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} > t(1, \alpha)$$

In either case, if measurement  $m_i$  is a blunder, outlier or the result of an error during the reduction and processing stage,  $v_i$  will be so large that this quantity will exceed the upper and lower limits of the specified confidence interval  $\alpha$  and thereby fail the local test. Alternatively, if the specified measurement variance is too large or too small, this quantity will also fail this test.

## Geodetic Network Reliability

Several statistical indicators exist for measuring the reliability of a geodetic network (Proszynski, 1994). One simple, yet useful indicator of *internal reliability* is the Pelzer (1979) reliability indicator, which may be used to quantify the reliability of individual measurements and the entire network.

The local Pelzer reliability indicator is given by:

$$\tau = \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_v} = \frac{\sigma_m}{\sqrt{\sigma_m^2 - \sigma_a^2}}$$

where  $\sigma_m$  is the *a-priori* measurement precision and  $\sigma_v$  is the precision of the least squares correction determined from the former and the precision of the adjusted measurement. The quantity  $\tau$  ranges from unity to infinity. Good internal reliability is achieved when  $\tau$  approaches unity, or when  $\sigma_v$  approaches  $\sigma_m$ . Conversely, large values of  $\tau$  infer poor reliability.

The global Pelzer reliability indicator is given by:

$$T = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\tau_i^2 - 1)$$

where  $n$  is the number of measurements and  $\tau_i$  is the local Pelzer indicator. This quantity ranges from zero to infinity and provides a basis for assessing the reliability of the network as a whole.

## **A General Estimation and Testing Procedure**

The least squares adjustment procedure for establishing international reference frames is beyond the scope of this fact sheet. However, for the purposes of propagating regional, national or local reference frames onto other stations, the following steps may be adopted:

1. Correct all geodetic measurements for all known systematic error sources.
2. Verify that the *a-priori* measurement variances ( $V_m$ ) are realistic quantities.
3. Ensure a sufficient number of redundant measurements have been captured so as to provide a suitable level of reliability.
4. Undertake a minimally constrained adjustment (i.e. a minimum number of station coordinates are constrained sufficient to calculate all dimensions of the reference frame).
5. Test the minimally constrained adjustment using the local test and global test. Quantify local and global internal reliability.
6. Undertake a constrained adjustment by introducing all necessary reference frame station positions and uncertainties.
7. Test the constrained adjustment using the local and global tests to verify that the imposed constraints do not result in measurement failure(s). Quantify local and global internal reliability. Perform other reliability assessments as required.

In steps 5) and 7), the respective global tests should confirm that  $w$  is less than the upper limit of the desired confidence interval. Similarly, the respective local tests should confirm that the corrections to the measurements are within the upper and lower limits of the desired confidence interval. Local testing should always be performed irrespective of the outcome of the global test as it is quite possible for measurement failures to exist even when the solution passes the global test.

In the case of failures, either in the minimally constrained or constrained adjustment, the measurements variance matrix and any imposed station constraints should be examined to identify and rectify the cause of failure.

## **References**

- Cooper, M. (1974). *Control Surveys in Civil Engineering*. Collins Professional and Technical Books, London.
- Mikhail, E. (1976). *Observations and Least Squares*. IEP, Dun-Donnelley, New York.
- Pelzer, H. (1979). *Criteria for the reliability of geodetic networks. Optimisation of Design and Computation of Control Networks* (eds F. Halmos and J. Somogyi). Budapest: Akademiai Kiado, pp. 553–562).
- Proszynski, W. (1994). *Criteria for internal reliability of linear least squares models*. Bulletin Geodesique, vol 68, pp. 162–167.



## 10 GLOBAL NAVIGATION SATELLITE SYSTEMS (GNSS)

*Increasingly surveyors are using satellite-based positioning systems to make their survey measurements. A number of systems are now fully or partially operational and several regional augmentation systems have been or are being developed. This section provides an overview of the various systems available and methods of making measurements.*


### **Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS)**

The US Global Positioning System (GPS) is the best known GNSS. “Multi-GNSS” is now understood to also refer to the other operational GNSS (Russia’s GLONASS, China’s Bei-Dou and the European Union’s Galileo); and regional or augmentation satellite systems such as Japan’s Quasi-Zenith Satellite System (QZSS), the Indian Regional Navigation Satellite System (IRNSS), and other space-based augmentation systems.

GNSS has revolutionized the disciplines of geodesy and surveying (from the early 1980s) and subsequently has made a considerable impact on all navigation communities as the availability of satellite signals and appropriate user receiver equipment has improved. At its most basic level, any GNSS, positioning mode or technique is classified according to whether it provides absolute or relative positioning results. However, all require information provided by ground infrastructure.

### **CORS & Modes of Positioning**

The ground infrastructure is today understood as the many thousands of continuously operating reference stations (CORS). As the name implies, these are GNSS receivers (identical to surveying user equipment) that are operated at static, well-monumented stations. The highest CORS tier is that network of stations that contribute to the In-



**Figure 11:** Diagram illustrating some GNSS satellites in their orbits around the Earth.



**Figure 12:** Example of a typical GNSS Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) installation.

ternational GNSS Service (IGS), and in effect make up part of the physical infrastructure of the Global Geodetic Observing System (GGOS). Such CORS have very stable monuments and operate continuously for many years. They contribute to the ITRF and to high-level satellite orbit and clock products to facilitate techniques such as Precise Point Positioning (PPP).

Other CORS are operated by scientific agencies, government departments (federal, state and local), private companies, academia, and even individuals. In fact, in all cases (except for the standard GNSS Single Point Positioning mode), CORS measurements are necessary for the enhancement or augmentation, of GNSS positioning accuracy.

**Single Point Positioning (SPP)** is the operational mode for which GPS was originally designed based on comparatively low-quality code or pseudo-range measurements. Standard civilian receivers currently deliver real-time, horizontal, absolute accuracy performance of the order of 5–10 m in the WGS84 reference frame. Vertical accuracy is typically 2–3 times worse than horizontal accuracy.

**Differential GNSS (DGPS/DGNSS)** can overcome some of the limitations of SPP by applying corrections to the basic pseudo-range measurements at the user receiver to mitigate or eliminate some of the more serious satellite system and atmospheric biases, based on a second reference station receiver, making similar measurements at a known point. The relative positioning accuracy achievable can range from the metre-level down to a few decimetres, depending on the quality of the receivers, the distance between the user receiver and the reference receiver generating the correction data, and the particular DGNSS technique and perhaps the DGNSS correction service that is used.

**SBAS Positioning** is used to compute a more accurate standalone position for a receiver, but using corrections from a Satellite Based Augmentation System (SBAS). The corrections from an SBAS (such as the United States' WAAS, the European Union's EGNOS, and Australia and New Zealand's SouthPAN) allow a receiver to mitigate the effects of satellite clock, satellite orbit and ionospheric errors.

**Relative GNSS** refers to the most accurate of the positioning techniques, using the DGNS principles with one or more reference stations relative to which receiver coordinates are computed from phase measurements rather than the noisier code (pseudo-range) measurements.

**Real-Time Kinematic (RTK)** is a relative positioning technique that can achieve centimetre-level accuracy in real-time, using pairs of receivers, even if the user receiver is moving, i.e. kinematic. Operational efficiencies and high accuracy are assured when both code and phase measurements are made on several transmitting frequencies. Hence RTK capable receivers are expensive, compared to single-frequency SPP/DGNSS receivers, requiring dual-frequency instrumentation and specialized phase baseline processing algorithms.

**Precise Point Positioning (PPP)** is a processing technique that applies very accurate GNSS satellite orbit and clock information computed separately from global CORS networks to a single high-quality receiver via specific processing algorithms, in order to produce decimetre to cm-level coordinates without any baseline constraints. Coordinates are computed in the datum of the satellite orbit and clock products, typically the most recent realization of ITRF (or the equivalent IGS frame). Some PPP modes use local CORS network data and are in effect relative positioning techniques.

## **Multi-GNSS**

In the past ten years, the number of GNSS satellites broadcasting navigation signals has roughly doubled, to over 120 satellites. Extra satellites and signals can improve accuracy, thus:

- More satellites being observed means a given level of accuracy can be achieved faster.
- More signals means more measurements can be processed.
- Position accuracy is less susceptible to the influence of satellite geometry.
- Vertical accuracy may approach the performance of horizontal positioning.
- The effects of multipath and interference/jamming could be mitigated through implementation of receiver autonomous integrity monitoring (RAIM) type satellite signal selection algorithms.

Extra satellites and signals can improve efficiency. For phase-based positioning to centimetre accuracy, extra satellites and signals significantly reduce the time required to resolve carrier phase ambiguities. Extra satellites and signals can enhance signal availability at a particular location, crucial for user solutions in areas that do not satisfy the open-sky conditions.

Extra satellites and signals can improve reliability in the following ways:

- Extra measurements increase the data redundancy which helps identify measurement outliers.
- Dual-frequency operation is enhanced as higher quality signals become available.
- More signals means that service is not as easily denied due to interference or jamming of one frequency or set of signals.

## **Further Information**

- International GNSS Service (IGS)  
<https://www.igs.org>
- GPS – U.S. Government information about GP, WAAS and related topics  
<http://www.gps.gov/>
- GLONASS Information Analytical Centre  
[https://glonass-iac.ru/en/about\\_glonass/](https://glonass-iac.ru/en/about_glonass/)
- Galileo and EGNOS – European Space Agency  
<https://galileognss.eu/>
- BeiDou – China  
<http://en.beidou.gov.cn/>
- Quasi-Zenithal Satellite System (QZSS) – Japan  
<https://qzss.go.jp/en/index.html>
- Navigation with Indian Constellation (NavIC) – India  
<https://www.isro.gov.in/SatelliteNavigationServices.html>
- SouthPAN (Southern Positioning Augmentation Network) – Australia and New Zealand  
<https://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/positioning-navigation/positioning-australia/about-the-program/southpan>  
<https://www.linz.govt.nz/products-services/geodetic/southpan>

## 11 GNSS CORS NETWORKS AND LINKING TO ITRF

*More and more countries are building networks of Continuously Operating GNSS Reference Stations (CORS). These provide services to the surveyor that can increase the efficiency with which surveys can be undertaken. Real time positions may be generated in terms of local or global reference frames if required. This section details how to link information from CORS to global reference frames.*

### **How to link CORS to ITRF**

Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) baseline processing, whether in post-processing or in real-time mode, resolves a baseline between a known reference and an unknown rover position. The baseline computation is often carried out in a reference frame that is mathematically linked to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) or the 'World Geodetic System 1984' (WGS-84) coordinate systems. In either situation, the positional coordinates of the rover are determined relative to the coordinates of the reference. Any transformation to local coordinates (or geodetic datum) is generally performed afterwards.

For effective baseline processing, the coordinates of the reference position should be known accurately. As the fundamental purpose of GNSS reference stations or Continuously Operating Reference Stations (CORS) is to provide the geospatial framework for determining the positions of rover receivers, it follows that accurate coordinates are needed for such reference stations. To facilitate this need, there is a global network of over 500 IGS (International GNSS Service) stations, whose station coordinates are expressed in terms of the ITRF.

For simplicity it could be assumed that recently derived WGS84 co-ordinates of reference stations are ITRF coordinates, as the WGS reference frame is very similar to ITRF in specification. In fact, WGS84 is regularly updated to maintain its alignment with ITRF.

Many countries already have a national network of GNSS CORS. Such networks will usually have been connected to the IGS network and thus the stations will have accurate ITRF coordinates. When establishing a new stand-alone reference station or network of stations, it is preferable to connect the new station or network to the national network. It is therefore recommended that the national survey organization be contacted for data from the national CORS. If there is no suitable national network, then the alternative is to connect the new station or network to the IGS network. For general information on the IGS network and how to obtain RINEX data files consult the 'Further Information' section.

To determine the ITRF coordinates of a new reference station or network, the following is suggested:

- Select one station of the new network as the 'master'.
- At the master log data (30 second or 1 minute rate is sufficient) for at least 24 hours, and ideally for up to 7 days.
- Download RINEX data from the closest IGS station or if available from the closest national station.
- Process the baseline from the IGS, or national station to the master using 24

hours of data. There are online services, such as AUSPOS, that will process the data. If data has been logged for several days, process the baseline for each 24-hour period and take the mean.

- If possible, process baselines from the master to an additional one or two IGS or national stations and take the mean.
- This will provide very accurate ITRF coordinates for the master and link the master to the IGS or national network.

The ITRF coordinates of the stations of the new network have to be fiducially accurate. That is, the network has to have a very high degree of precision among the stations. To achieve this, the following procedure is recommended:

- At all stations log data (30 second or 1 minute rate is sufficient) for at least 24 hours, and possibly for several days.
- Using the ITRF coordinates of the master as the starting point, process all the baselines of the network using 24 hours of data. Possibly repeat for another one or two 24-hour periods. Adjust the network if adjustment software is available.
- This will provide ITRF coordinates for all stations. The coordinates will have a high degree of relative accuracy.

Note – if real-time kinematic (RTK) or differential GNSS is being used to determine coordinates of new points then the resulting position will normally be based on the reference frame defining the reference stations transmitting the real-time corrections.

In a GNSS CORS network, the surveyor will normally derive a height based on the reference ellipsoid, for example, Geodetic Reference System 1980 (GRS80). Most users however are working with 'physical' heights based on a local height datum (such as local mean sea level) and thus need to relate the derived ellipsoid height to this local height datum. This is achieved by using a geoid model for the subject survey area.

### ***On-Line GNSS Processing Services***

For situations where there are no existing ITRF-based networks, and processing software is unavailable or not suitable, there are internet-based online processing services that derive ITRF coordinates or positions if sufficient RINEX data has been submitted for processing. These systems will provide a position solution based on an ITRF coordinate system by calculating baselines from nearby GNSS reference stations of known ITRF position. These reference stations could be in another country and/or IGS sites. Refer to the following web locations to find out more about such online GNSS processing services and their requirements.

- Auto Gipsy (JPL) – Service provided by JPL  
<http://apps.gdgps.net/>
- AUSPOS (Geoscience Australia) – Service provided by Geoscience Australia  
<https://www.ga.gov.au/scientific-topics/positioning-navigation/geodesy/auspos>
- OPUS – Service provided by NGS, USA  
<http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/OPUS/>

- SCOUT (SOPAC) – Service provided by SOPAC, USA  
<http://sopac-old.ucsd.edu/scout.shtml>
- CSRS-PPP (NRCAN GSD) – Service provided by Natural Resources, Canada  
<https://webapp.csrscs-nrcan.gc.ca/geod/tools-outils/ppp.php>

### **Further Information**

The following web pages will provide more information on this topic:

- IGS (International GNSS Service and network)  
<https://igs.org/>
- RINEX (Receiver Independent Exchange Format)  
<https://igs.org/wg/rinex/>
- EUREF (RINEX data sets for Europe)  
<https://www.epncb.oma.be/>
- NGS (For information on GNSS CORS)  
<https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/CORS/>
- EarthScope (formerly UNAVCO) (For legacy TEQC tool)  
<https://www.unavco.org/software/data-processing/teqc/teqc.html>
- ITRF International Terrestrial Reference Frame  
<https://itrf.ign.fr/en/homepage>

Information for this fact sheet was sourced from 'GPS Reference Stations and Networks – An Introductory Guide' – Leica Geosystems, Switzerland (2005).

## 12 THE INTERNATIONAL GNSS SERVICE (IGS)

*Many of the commercially available GNSS software packages have options which enable the surveyor to download and utilize the IGS data and products in their processing. This enables the generation of precise coordinates aligned to the latest version of ITRF, which can then be transformed to a local coordinate system if desired. This section details the structure of the IGS and services provided.*



### **Introduction**

The International GNSS Service (IGS) is a voluntary federation of more than 200 world-wide agencies that pool resources and permanent GNSS station data to generate precise GNSS products. The IGS is committed to providing the highest quality data and products as the standard for Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS) in support of Earth science research, multidisciplinary applications, and education. Currently, the IGS products' includes GPS and GLONASS products as well as the recent inclusion of Galileo in experimental multi-GNSS ultra-rapid products. Following the goals of its most recent 2021+ Strategic Plan, IGS is actively transitioning to a multi-GNSS service, building on the work and recommendations of the IGS Multi-GNSS Experiment (MGEX).

The Service provides freely available data and data products for the scientific and positioning communities. It is a service of the International Association of Geodesy (IAG) and is a collaborative effort involving dozens of scientific, academic and government organizations. Utilizing the data and products of the IGS enables high-precision positioning to be carried out worldwide, and in relation to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF).

### **Formation**

High-precision GNSS surveying typically involves relative positioning to connect a new station to an existing station which has coordinates in terms of the desired reference frame. For studies that are regional or global, connecting to the current international reference frame is highly desirable. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, ensuring the appropriate simultaneous GNSS occupations to connect to the international reference frame was a substantial logistical challenge. Relevant marks were often distant and challenging to access, and accessing data from other agencies making GNSS observations at the same time as the campaign could be difficult. Data processing to achieve the highest levels of precision could also be challenging. Most users did not have the capability to compute their own high-precision orbits, for example.

It was apparent that the international positioning community would benefit greatly from easier access to GNSS data and the data products commonly used to process it. The use of a standardized set of data and products would also ensure that positioning could be carried out consistently across the globe, a matter of considerable importance in many scientific and surveying applications. Thus in 1994, the IGS began its activities as a service of the IAG. It was initially called the International GPS Service, later becoming the International GNSS Service to reflect the emergence of GLONASS and other GNSS.



## **Structure**

There are five main operational components to the IGS: data providers, data centers, analysis centers, collaboration groups (including working groups, pilot projects and committees) and the central bureau. These are described in the table below:

<b>Component</b>	<b>Description</b>
Data provider	Operates a GNSS station in the IGS network of tracking stations and provides the data to an IGS data center. Station operators are typically national geodetic agencies, mapping agencies, space agencies or geodetic research institutions.
Data Center	Stores GNSS data and IGS products, making these available to the positioning community.
Analysis Center	Produces the IGS products. Contributions from each analysis center are combined by the Analysis Center Coordinator to form the official IGS products.
Working Group, Pilot Project, and Committee	Works on a particular area of interest to the IGS and/or maintains operational IGS products. Examples include the antenna committee and reference frame committee.
Central Bureau	Coordinates all IGS activities, maintains the IGS website (IGS.org).

## **Data and products**

The primary data provided by the IGS is GNSS code and phase measurements in the form of RINEX files for each of the stations forming the IGS network. Other data includes GNSS broadcast ephemerides (orbits) and station meteorological information. Updated station data on at least a daily basis, and in some instances, via hourly and real-time streams.

The IGS products are derived from the data. These include precise ephemerides, satellite/receiver clock corrections, Earth Rotation Parameters (ERPs), atmospheric parameters, station coordinates and station velocities. Products are available at various latencies to reflect the requirements of different applications. Ultra-rapid products are available in near real-time but are the least precise. Rapid products are provided within one to two days and have greater precision. The final products provide the best precision and are available within ten days to four weeks (depending on the product).

Through the Real-time Service (RTS), the IGS extends its capability to support applications requiring real-time access to IGS products. The RTS is a GNSS service providing access to real-time precise products such as orbit and clock corrections, code and phase biases, which can be used as a substitute for ultra-rapid products in real-time applications. Hence, it enables precise point positioning (PPP) and related applications, such as time synchronization and disaster monitoring, at worldwide scales. The RTS is based on the IGS global infrastructure of network stations, data centers and analysis centers that provide world standard high-precision GNSS data products.

The IGS also provides antenna calibration data for antennas in its network and site logs containing station metadata.

## **Alignment with the ITRF**

The IGS provides the GNSS coordinates, velocities and ERPs that contribute to the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF). GNSS has an important role providing connections between the other space geodesy techniques (VLBI, SLR and DORIS). It also helps maintain the orientation of the ITRF.

The IGS also computes its own realization of the ITRF. This IGS realization is the reference frame in which its products are expressed. There may be small differences in coordinates between ITRF and the IGS frame, due to station-specific factors such as the use of improved antenna models in the IGS realization. The transformation between ITRF and the corresponding IGS realization is nevertheless zero.

Each IGS realization is referenced by a year relating to the ITRF on which it is based. Multiple IGS realizations of the same ITRF are identified by adding or incrementing a letter. For a full chronology of the IGS reference frames, please refer to the IGS website (<https://igs.org/wg/referenceframe/documents>).

For example, the IGS realization of ITRF2020 is IGS20. The IGS20 reference frame was derived from ITRF2020 in a similar way as its predecessors (IGS08, IGS14) were derived from previous ITRF releases (see Rebischung et al., 2012). A future updated IGS realization of ITRF2020 would be named IGb20.

## **Further Information**

- Altamimi, Z., Rebischung, P., Collilieux, X. et al. (2023) ITRF2020: an augmented reference frame refining the modeling of nonlinear station motions. *Journal of Geodesy* vol. 97, 47, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-023-01738-w>.
- Altamimi Z., Collilieux X., and Métivier L., (2011) ITRF2008: an improved solution of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame, *Journal of Geodesy*, vol. 85, page 457–473, [doi:10.1007/s00190-011-0444-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-011-0444-4).
- Dach, R. and Bockmann, E., (eds.) (2023). *International GNSS Service Technical Report 2022 (IGS Annual Report)*. IGS Central Bureau and University of Bern; Bern Open Publishing DOI 10.48350/179297.
- Dow, J.M., Neilan R. N., and Rizos, C., (2009) The International GNSS Service in a changing landscape of Global Navigation Satellite Systems, *Journal of Geodesy* vol. 83, page 191–198, <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00190-008-0300-3>.
- Johnston, G., Riddell, A. and Hausler, G. (2017). *The International GNSS Service*. Teunissen, Peter J.G., & Montenbruck, O. (Eds.), Springer Handbook of Global Navigation Satellite Systems (1st ed., pp. 967–982). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, <https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-42928-1>.
- Kouba, J. (2015) *A Guide to Using International GNSS Service (IGS) Products*. Available online at [https://files.igs.org/pub/resource/pubs/UsingIGSProductsVer21\\_cor.pdf](https://files.igs.org/pub/resource/pubs/UsingIGSProductsVer21_cor.pdf). Accessed 14 Apr 2024.
- IGS (2024) International GNSS Service. Available online <https://igs.org/>. Accessed 14 Apr 2024.
- Rebischung, P., Griffiths, J., Ray, J., Schmid, R., Collilieux, X. and Garayt, B. (2012), IGS08: the IGS realization of ITRF2008. *GPS Solutions*, 16:483–494, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-011-0248-2>.

## 13 STANDARDS AND QUALITY OF TERRESTRIAL REFERENCE FRAMES

*Surveyors as professionals must fulfill certain legal, regulatory and/or accuracy requirements for their clients. Typically, they will strive to do this in an optimal cost-effective way and with the most appropriate equipment for the job at hand. Naturally this requires a good understanding and assurance in the instrumentation employed. Clients want the most from what they pay for. Legislative authorities as well as private and public companies require confidence that the services rendered are in conformity with globally accepted best practice rules. Using internationally recognized standards such as the ISO series and ensuring traceability in measurement are two internationally and widely accepted ways of doing this.*

### **Quality and Standards**

Organizations are created to fulfil a societal need. They succeed when they satisfy the needs, requirements and expectations of their stakeholders. Who are these stakeholders? They include people or organizations that have the freedom to provide or withdraw something from an enterprise. They include government, suppliers, society, employees, customers, etc.

The customer is a special stakeholder. The customer is the person, or organization that receives a product or service – the one who pays. Only the customer can decide if products or services are satisfactory. Customers require quality products and services delivered on time and at a price that reflects value for money.

What are the characteristics of quality? Quality products are reliable, functional, durable, secure, available, and traceable. Quality services reflect competence, responsiveness, integrity, reliability and credibility. Quality is the degree to which a set of inherent characteristics fulfils a set of requirements: a requirement being a need or expectation that is stated, generally implied or obligatory.

One generally accepted way to ensure quality is by working to recognized quality management standards. These standards provide a benchmark for products and services and a best practice model to manage processes. A standard is a rule or requirement that is determined by a consensus opinion of users. It prescribes the accepted and (theoretically) the best criteria for a product, process, test, or procedure. The benefits of a standard are safety, quality, interchangeability of parts or systems, and international consistency.

Standards have existed for thousands of years. For example, the first long distance roads in Europe were built by Imperial Rome for the benefit of their legions. The ruts created by the Roman chariots were then used by all other wagons. These later became a gauge for laying the first railway lines.

The **ISO 9000** series is the best-known set of standards to measure a management system against.

- ISO is the largest developer and publisher of International Standards,
- ISO is a network of the national standards institutes of over 160 countries,
- ISO is an NGO that forms a bridge between the public and private sectors,

- ISO enables a consensus on solutions for business and society.

ISO 9000 is a family of quality management system standards designed to help organizations ensure they meet the needs of customers and other stakeholders. They represent an international consensus on good quality management practices. The ISO 9000 family comprises:

- **ISO 9000** Quality Management Systems fundamentals and vocabulary installation and servicing
- **ISO 9001** Quality Management Systems requirements
- **ISO 9004** Quality Management Systems guidelines for performance improvement
- **ISO 19011** Guidelines on Quality and Environment Management Systems Auditing
- **ISO 9001** provides the requirements for a quality management system, regardless of what the user organization does, its size, or whether it is in the private, or public sector. It is the standard against which organizations can be certified.

A quality management system provides the framework of processes and procedures used to achieve objectives. In the process approach for management systems recommended by ISO, a process is a set of interrelated or interacting activities which transforms inputs into outputs. A product or service is the result of a process.

### **Traceability, Calibration and Verification**

ISO 9001:2008 7.6 Control of monitoring and measuring equipment stipulates:


- *The organization shall determine the monitoring and measurement needed to provide evidence of conformity to product requirements.*
- *The organization shall establish processes to ensure that monitoring and measurement are carried out in a manner that is consistent with requirements.*
- *Where necessary to ensure valid results, measuring equipment shall be calibrated or verified, or both, at specified intervals, or prior to use, against measurement standards traceable to international or national measurement standards.*

Traceability is one of the pillars of instrument calibration. All legal metrology is based on the notion of traceability. Traceability is a method of ensuring that a measurement is an accurate representation of what it is trying to measure. It ensures an unbroken chain of comparisons that ends at a national metrology institute (NMI).


A measurement comprises four parts: a value, a unit, an uncertainty and a coverage factor  $k$ . For example a distance can be expressed as  $1.02345\text{ m}$  with an uncertainty  $U(D)=0.0005\text{ m}$  and a coverage factor  $k=2$ . For a normally (Gaussian) distributed variable,  $k=2$  represents a coverage of  $2\sigma$  or roughly 95%.

The International Vocabulary of Metrology (VIM) defines calibration as:

*operation that establishes a relation between the quantity values with measurement uncertainties provided by measurement standards and corresponding indications with associated measurement uncertainties uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a measurement result from an indication.*



**Figure 13:** The four parts comprising a measurement.



**Figure 14:** Relationship between a measurement and a standard.


Measurement uncertainty is a non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measure and, based on the information used. Measurement uncertainty is composed of two parts *TypeA* and *TypeB* uncertainties.

$$U = \sqrt{TypeA^2 + TypeB^2}$$

*TypeA* uncertainty is the result of repeated measurements, and this is the uncertainty we are most familiar with. *TypeB* uncertainties include reference values, experience, manufacturer's specifications and calibration certificates.

### **ISO 17123 Part 8: GNSS field measurement systems in real-time kinematic (RTK)**

This standard specifies field procedures for evaluating the precision (repeatability) of Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) field measurement systems in real-time kinematic (GNSS RTK). These tests are intended to be field verifications of the suitability of an instrument for the application at hand, and/or to satisfy the requirements of other standards.





- Determine the distances and height differences between the two rover points to a precision of better than 3 mm
- Five sets of x, y and h coordinate measurements are made
- The difference between these measured distances  $\epsilon_D$  and heights  $\epsilon_h$  and those determined independently must satisfy:
  - $|\epsilon_D| \leq 2.5 \times \sqrt{2} \times s_{xy}$
  - $|\epsilon_h| \leq 2.5 \times \sqrt{2} \times s_h$ 
    - $s_{xy}$  and  $s_h$  are a priori uncertainties
- There are two variants of this verification: the full test and the simplified test

**Figure 15:** Example of measurement traceability.

### Case Study – Malaysia

Surveyors in Malaysia have a legal obligation to establish measurement traceability. This is accomplished in three steps. Reference total stations are calibrated at an ISO17025 certified laboratory at the ESRF in France. These instruments are then used to measure a series of baselines in Malaysia. Surveyors check their instruments on these baselines.



**Figure16:** Map showing the locations of measurement traceability stations in Malaysia.

## Further Information


- International Organization for Standardization (ISO), <https://www.iso.org/>.
- BIPM-IEC-IFCC-ISO-IUPAC-IUPAP-OIML, Ed. (1995). *Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement*. Geneva, International Organization for Standardization.
- Hoyle, D. (2007). *Quality Management Essentials*, Butterworth-Heinemann.
- ISO (2001–2007). ISO 17123 Optics and optical instruments – Field procedures for testing geodetic and surveying instruments Parts 1 to 8. Geneva Switzerland, ISO. 17123.
- ISO (2005). ISO/CEI 17025:2005 *General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories*, International Organization for Standardization.
- JCGM/WG2 (2007). JCGM 200:2008: *International vocabulary of metrology — Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)*, Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology.
- Martin, D. (2007). GNSS CORS – Standards and Best Practices for GNSS and CORS, [https://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/WG/documents/2010\\_5.2/manuals.asp](https://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/WG/documents/2010_5.2/manuals.asp).
- Martin, D. (2007). GNSS CORS – Calibration and Testing for GNSS and CORS V3.2, [https://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/WG/documents/2010\\_5.2/manuals.asp](https://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/WG/documents/2010_5.2/manuals.asp).
- Martin, D. (2008). *Review of Standards, Best Practice, Testing and Calibration in Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)*. FIG Working Week 2008, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Martin, D. (2009). *GNSS CORS Calibration and Testing*. 7th FIG Regional Conference 2009, Hanoi, Vietnam, FIG.
- Ses, S., M. Kadir, et al. (1999). Potential use of GPS for cadastral surveys in Malaysia. *40th Aust. & 6th S.E.Asian Surveyors Congress*. Fremantle, Australia: 176–184.
- Wübbena G., S. M., Mader G., Czopek F. (2007). *GPS Block II/IIA Satellite Antenna Testing using the Automated Absolute Field Calibration with Robot*. ION GNSS 2007, Fort Worth, Texas, USA.
- Zeimetz, P. and H. Kuhlmann (2008). *On the Accuracy of Absolute GNSS Antenna Calibration and the Conception of a New Anechoic Chamber*\_FIG Working Week 2008 Stockholm, FIG.

## 14 THE IMPORTANCE OF A MODERN NATIONAL GEOSPATIAL REFERENCE SYSTEM

In a world increasingly reliant on satellites to provide positioning, navigation and Earth Observation (EO) services from space, it is important that the information provided from satellites is on the same datum as the national datum to enable and ensure the alignment of geospatial data for effective decision making.

### **A modern national Geospatial Reference System**

A modern national Geospatial Reference System (Figure x) refers to the collection of: a national geodetic datum aligned to a realization of the International Terrestrial Reference Frame; a national geoid model and height datum; and transformation parameters to transform geospatial data stored in legacy datums, into the modern datums.



**Figure 17:** Example of a country Geospatial Reference System.

### **Supporting development and delivery**

The success in the implementation of a modern Geospatial Reference System extends beyond the technical elements. It also requires:

- **infrastructure**, including (preferably) a national network of Global Navigation Satellite System Continuously Operating Reference Stations and survey marks to provide an authoritative and accurate network;
- **standards** to ensure positioning information is Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable;
- **analysis capability**, provided by national land survey departments and partners;
- **fit for purpose governance**; and
- **consultation and communication** with stakeholders.



## ***The United Nations Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (UN-GGCE)***

The motto of the United Nations Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (UN-GGCE) is “Stronger. Together.” Established at the UN Campus in Bonn, Germany in March 2023, the UN-GGCE vision is a future where all countries have strong political support for geodesy which enables them to – together – implement the General Assembly Resolution 69/266<sup>1</sup> and accelerate the achievements of the Sustainable Development Goals to derive social, environmental and economic benefits.

Part of the role of the UN-GGCE is to provide greater technical assistance, especially for capacity development in geodesy for developing countries. If you need assistance to modernise your national Geospatial Reference System, please contact the UN-GGCE team on [un-ggce@un.org](mailto:un-ggce@un.org) to see how they can help.

### ***Further Information***

- United Nations Global Geodetic Centre of Excellence (UN-GGCE)  
<https://ggim.un.org/UNGGCE/>

---

<sup>1</sup> [General Assembly Resolution 69/266 \(2015\)](#).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER)**

Thanks is given to the following authors who contributed to the initial RFIP Manual and its subsequent update:

- Graeme Blick (New Zealand)
- Nicholas Brown (Germany)
- Allison Craddock (United States)
- Nic Donnelly (New Zealand)
- Roger Fraser (Australia),
- Matt Higgins (Australia)
- Ryan Keenan (Australia)
- David Martin (France)
- Luibov Poshyvoila-Strube (Germany)
- Paul Rebischung (France)
- Chris Rizos (Australia)
- Daniel R. Roman (United States)
- Ryan Ruddick (Australia)
- Rob Sarib (Australia)
- Tomas Soler (United States)
- Richard Stanaway (Papua New Guinea)
- Neil D. Weston (United States).

The Initial FIG Publication 64 (2014) can be found at:

<https://www.fig.net/resources/publications/figpub/pub64/Figpub64.pdf>.

This Technical Manual is produced by FIG Commission 5 and has been contributed to by technical experts from FIG, IGS, UN-GGCE and other partner organisations. The objective of the Manual is to provide a brief introduction to the use of Reference Frames in Practice. Arranged as a series of short fact sheets that can be easily added to and updated, it was originally published in 2014, and this is the updated version from May 2024.

Any feedback should be forwarded to the FIG Commission 5 Chair Ryan Keenan – see [www.fig.net/organisation/comm/5/](http://www.fig.net/organisation/comm/5/).

## FIG PUBLICATIONS

The FIG publications are divided into four categories. This should assist members and other users to identify the profile and purpose of the various publications.

### FIG Policy Statements

FIG Policy Statements include political declarations and recommendations endorsed by the FIG General Assembly. They are prepared to explain FIG policies on important topics to politicians, government agencies and other decision makers, as well as surveyors and other professionals.

### FIG Guides

FIG Guides are technical or managerial guidelines endorsed by the Council and recorded by the General Assembly. They are prepared to deal with topical professional issues and provide guidance for the surveying profession and relevant partners.

### FIG Reports

FIG Reports are technical reports representing the outcomes from scientific meetings and Commission working groups. The reports are approved by the Council and include valuable information on specific topics of relevance to the profession, members and individual surveyors.

### FIG Regulations

FIG Regulations include statutes, internal rules and work plans adopted by the FIG organisation.

### List of FIG publications

For an up-to-date list of publications, please visit [www.fig.net/resources/publications/figpub/](http://www.fig.net/resources/publications/figpub/)

## ABOUT FIG



International Federation of Surveyors is the premier international organization representing the interests of surveyors worldwide. It is a federation of the national member associations and covers the whole range of professional fields within the global surveying community. It provides an international forum for discussion and development aiming to promote professional practice and standards.

FIG was founded in 1878 in Paris and was first known as the *Fédération Internationale des Géomètres* (FIG). This has become anglicized to the *International Federation of Surveyors* (FIG). It is a United Nations and World Bank Group recognized non-government organization (NGO), representing a membership from 120 plus countries throughout the world, and its aim is to ensure that the disciplines of surveying and all who practise them meet the needs of the markets and communities that they serve.



The International Federation of Surveyors (FIG) Commission 5 is responsible for assisting practising surveyors in FIG member associations to apply Positioning and Measurement technologies efficiently and effectively in their day-to-day survey activities. One of the most significant technologies to emerge in recent decades has been Global Navigation Satellite Systems (GNSS). The rise of such a global technology has highlighted the need for countries to move from locally defined geodetic datums to more global datums based on the International Terrestrial Reference Frame. This Commission 5 publication aims to better inform surveyors around reference frame topics and includes major updates on expanded GNSS constellations and international geodesy initiatives, helping them extend their professional knowledge.